Zera Shimshon

by Rabbi Shimshon Chaim Nachmani zt"l Published Mantua 1778*

Chapter V: Chayei Sarah (Gen. 23:1-25:18)

Essay 3: Abraham's eulogy of Sarah, and the effect of the death of a tzaddik

פְּסוּק וַיָּבֹאׁ אַבְרָהָם לְסִפּּד לְשָּׁרָה קוֹדֶם וְלְבְכּּתָה. דּתְּחִלֶּה אָדֶם בּוֹכֶה עַל מֵתוֹ וּלְבַסּוֹף מַסְפִּידוֹ, דּשְׁלֹשֶׁה לְבְכִי וְשִׁבְעָה לַהֶּסְפֵּד וְעוֹד לַמַּה יֵשׁ פַ"ף זְעֵירָא בָּמִלֹּת וְלִבְכּוֹתָה.

We find in a verse, "Abraham came to eulogize Sarah" before it is written "and to cry for her" (Gen. 23:2). The order seems reversed, for at first a man cries for his departed one and at the end will eulogize him: three days for crying and seven days of eulogy (Moed Katan 27b). One can also ask, why is there a miniature caf [5] in the word "and he cried for her"?

ְיִיּבֵן בַּמֶּה שֶׁכָּתַב בַּעַל סָפֶר מַעֲבַר יַבֹּק (בַּמַּאֲמֶר שְׁפַת אֱמֶת פֶּרֶק י"ט) וז"ל, וְהַהָּסְפֵּד לַמֵּת הוּא תּוֹעֶלֶת גָּדוֹל, כִּי עַל יְדֵי הַדְּמְעוֹת יִפְתְּחוּ לַמֵּת שַׁעֲרֵי דִּמְעָה הָעֶלְיוֹנִים, הַמְּסוּלְקִים מִן הַדִּין, כְּאָמְרָם שַׁעֲרֵי דִּמְעָה לֹא נִנְעַלוּ. אָמְנָם צָּרִידְּ שִׁיִּהְיָה הַבֶּּכִי לַתּוֹעֶלֶת הַנָּפֶשׁ וְלֹא לַתּוֹעֶלֶת הַחוֹמֶר, הִנִּים הַכְּ"ף לֹתּוֹעֶלֶת הַנָּפֶשׁ וְלֹא לַתּוֹעֶלֶת הַחוֹמֶר עכ"ל. וּכְדֵי לְרְמוֹז שֶׁהַבֶּּכִי שֶׁלוֹ הָיָה לַתּוֹעֶלֶת הַנָּפֶשׁ וְלֹא לַתּוֹעֶלֶת הַנְּפֶשׁ וְלֹא לַתּוֹעֶלֶת הַנְּפֶשׁ. וּמְשׁוּם הַכִּי, אָמֵר לֹסְפֹּד לְשְׁרָה זְעֵירָא לוֹמֵר שָׁמִיעֵט בִּבְּכִיָּתוֹ שֶׁלֹא בָּכָה כְּלַפֵּי הַחוֹמֶר אֶלָּא מַחָמֵת הָסְפֵּד לַתּוֹעֶלֶת הַנְּפֶשׁ. וּמְשׁוּם הַכִּי, אָמֵר לֹסְפֹּד לְשָׁרָה וְאָחָר: כֵּן וְלְבִכֹּתָה.

It is understood by what is written by the author of the sefer Ma'avar Yavok (in the article Sefat Emet, section 19). He writes: "The eulogy for the dead serves a great purpose, because as a result of the tears shed at the eulogy, the upper gates of tears will open, gates redeemed from judgment, as it says 'even though the gates or prayer were locked [with the destruction of the Temple], the gates of tears won't be locked.' So in fact, crying is needed for the purpose of the soul and not for the purpose of the body." In order to hint that [Abraham's] crying was for a purpose of the soul and not for the purpose of the body, the miniature caf was placed to say that his crying was minimized. That is, he wasn't crying for

^{*} English translation: Copyright © 2019 by Charles S. Stein.

¹ Aaron Berechiah ben Moses ben Nehemiah (d. 1639), Italian Kabbalist who lived in Modena. In 1626, he published Ma'avor Yavok, with readings, laws, and customs relating to sickness, deathbed, burial, and mourning rites.

² Berachot 32b: "Rabbi Elazar also said: Since the day the Temple was destroyed the gates of prayer were locked [and prayer is not accepted as it once was,] as it is said [in lamentation of the Temple's destruction]: 'Though I plead and call out, He shuts out my prayer' (Lam. 3:8). Yet, even though the gates of prayer were locked [with the destruction of the Temple], the gates of tears were not locked [and one who cries before G-d may rest assured that his prayers will be heard], as it is stated: 'Hear my prayer, L-rd, and give ear to my pleading, keep not silence at my tears' (Ps. 39:13)."

³ Rabbi Jacob ben Asher (the Ba'al ha-Turim) (c. 1269-1343), Medieval rabbinic authority, in his commentary on the Torah, said that the miniature *caf* signified a minimization of crying.

the body, i.e., the loss of her physical presence, but rather as a eulogy for the purpose of the soul. Because of this, it says "to eulogize Sarah," and afterward it says, "and to cry for her."

ְּעִוֹד יֵשׁ לוֹמֵר שֶׁהֶכְּתוּב לֹא זָכַר הַבֶּּכִי רִאשׁוֹן שֶׁדֶּרֶךְ לְבוֹא מַחֲמַת הַטֶּבַע, שֶׁהְרֵי מֵכֶף שֶׁאָדָם שׁוֹמֵעַ אֵיזוֹ שְׁמוּעָה רְעָה אוֹ רוֹאָה אֵיזָה מִקְרֶה רַע, בָּר מִינָן, עֵינִיו זוֹלְגוֹת דִּמְעָה, וְאֵינוֹ שׁוּם שֶׁבַח וּכְבוֹד לְשָׁרָה. וְאַף הַבֶּּכִי שֻׁבָּא מַחֲמַת הַהְסְפֵּד אֵין צוֹרֵךְ לְאַחֵר הְעָבְרוּ יְמֵי הַהָּסְפֵּד כָּל עוֹד שֶׁהָיָה לְהַזְּכִירוֹ, דְּבִכְלָל מָאמִיִם מָנָה. וּמַה שֶׁבָּתב וְלְבְכֹּתָה חִידוּשָׁא קָא מַשְׁמַע לָן, שֻׁאַף לְאַחֵר שֶׁנְבְרוּ יְמֵי הַהְסְפֵּד כָּל עוֹד שֶׁהָיָה זוֹבר מַצְשֶׁיהָ וּגְבוּרוֹתִיהָ בַּעְסְקִי בֵּיתָה וּבְיִרְאַת ה', עִינִיו זוֹלְגוֹת דִּמְעָה, וְאָתִי שָׁפִיר שֻׁהָּפְסִיק בְּמִלַּת לְשָׁרָה בֵּין לְסְפֹּד וְלְבְכֹּתָה, שֶׁהָיָה לוֹ לוֹמֵר לְסְפּוֹד וְלְבְכּוֹת אֶת שֶּׂרָה, אֶלָּא וַדַּאִי שֶׁלְסְפּוֹד לְשֶׁרָה כְּבָר נִגְמֵר הַחִיוּב שֶׁהָיָה לוֹ לַעֲשׁוֹת. וְאַחֲרֵי כֵן וְלְבְכֹּתְה הוֹא עִנְיָן אַחֵר.

Furthermore, it can be said that Scripture doesn't recall the first sob that comes naturally. That is, as a man hears any bad news or sees any bad incident (may it not happen to us), his eyes will be streaming with tears, but in this initial sob there was no praise and honor for Sarah. It also wasn't necessary to mention the crying that came from the eulogy, as in the rule of 200 pieces of silver. From the text, "and to cry for her," we learn something new: that even after the days of eulogy passed, as long as [Abraham] remembered [Sarah's] actions, and her strength in taking care of her household, and her strength in the fear of G-d, his eyes would stream tears, and with this understanding it's fine that there is an interruption of the word "for Sarah" being placed between the words "to eulogize" and "to cry for her." That is, [Scripture] could have said "to eulogize and to cry for Sarah," with the two verbs together, followed by one mention of the object, which would have suggested that the crying occurred at the eulogy. But instead it separated the verbs by having the object mentioned after each, so that it is certain that in eulogizing Sarah, he already concluded the obligation that he had to perform, to rouse others to cry for her, i.e., for the benefit of her soul. The fact that it afterward says "and to cry for her" was with regard to a different matter, i.e., his remembering her even after the days of mourning.

ְּעוֹד יֵשׁ לוֹמֵר דְּלֹא הָיָה צָרִיךְ קֶרָא לוֹמֵר לְסִפּּד לְשָׁרָה, שֶׁכְּבָר אָמֵר וַהָּמָת שָׁרָה בְּקְרְיַת אַרְבַּע וְכוּ', וְהָיָה לוֹ לוֹמֵר וַיָּבֹא אַבְרָהָם לֹסְפּּדָה וְלָבְּכֹתָה. אֶלָּא מָשׁוּם דְּקִיִּמָא לָן עוֹלָה עִמוֹ וְאֵינָה יוֹרֶדֶת, וְהָאשָׁה מַסְפִּידין אוֹתָה אַף בְּמַעֲשֶׂה בַּעֲלָה, לְפִיכְּדּ אָמֵר הַכָּתוּב לְסִפּוֹד לְשָׁרָה דִּוְקָא, שֶׁאַבְרָהָם לֹא בָּא לְהַסְפִּיד לְשָׁרָה בַּשְׁבִיל שֶׁהָיְתָה אִשְׁתּוֹ בִּלְבַד, אֶלָּא אַף בַּשֶּׁבַח הַמַּגִּיעַ לְעַצְמָה אַף אָם לֹא הָיְתָה אִשְׁתּוֹ, עַל דֶּרֶךְ שֶׁפֵּירְשׁוּ זַ"ל עַם בְּנִי אֲפִילוּ אֵינוֹ יִצְחָק, עָם יִצְחָק אֲפִילוּ אֵינוֹ בְּנִי.

It is also worth noting that it wasn't necessary for Scripture to say "to eulogize Sarah," as [the verse] had already said, "Sarah died at Kiryat Arba," and so afterward [the verse] could have said, "and Abraham came to eulogize her and to cry for her." Rather,

⁴ Sanhedrin 31a discusses two witnesses, where one says that a defendant owes a plaintiff 100 dinar, and the second says the defendant owes the plaintiff 200 dinar. The law is that the defendant owes 100, because the second witness's "200" encompasses 100 (i.e., there are two witnesses to 100, but only one witness for 200). Thus, it is understood that crying is subsumed within a eulogy, and it wasn't necessary to separately mention it.

because we hold that, "she ascended with him and didn't descend with him" (Ketubot 48a),⁵ and to contrast her case with the fact that people typically eulogize a woman by giving her some credit for the actions of her husband, Scripture says specifically "to eulogize Sarah." That is, Abraham didn't come to eulogize Sarah only because she was his wife, i.e., to give her partial credit for his accomplishments, but rather also to eulogize the praise due to her own accomplishments apart from her being his wife. This was explained by the compiler of Gen. Rabbah of blessed memory, that Sarah protected Isaac by requesting the expulsion of Ishmael, whom she didn't want associated "with my son, even if he wasn't Isaac;" or "with Isaac, even if he wasn't my son."

וּבְזֶה יוּבַן מַאֲמֶר רַבּוֹתֵינוּ זַ"ל כֶּּרֶק י"ג דְּשֵׁבָּת: אָמֵר ר' [שִׁמְעוֹן] בֶּן פַּזִּי אָמֵר ר' יְהוֹשֵׁעַ בֶּן לֵוִי מִשׁוּם בַּר קַפָּרָא כָּל הַמּוֹרִיד דְּמָעוֹת עַל אָדָם כָּשֵׁר הקב"ה סוֹפְרָן וּמַנִּיחָן בְּבֵית גְּנָזָיו, שֶׁנָּאֱמֵר נֹדִי סָפַרְתָּה אָתָּה שִׁימָה דִמְעָתִי בְנֹאֹדֶךְ וְכוּ'. דְּקַשֵׁה הֵיכָא רְמִיזָא בָּפָסוּק הוֹרָדַת דְּמַעוֹת עַל אָדָם כַּשֵׁר.

With this explanation that shedding tears helps the soul of the deceased, one will understand the saying of our rabbis of blessed memory, in the 13th chapter in Shabbat (105b): "Rabbi Shimon ben Pazi said that Rabbi Yehoshua ben Levi said in the name of Bar Kappara: Anyone who sheds tears over an upright person, the Holy One, Blessed be He, counts his tears and places them in His treasury [בְּבֵית נְּנָזִין], as it is stated: 'You have counted my wanderings, put my tears into your flask; are they not in your book?' (Ps. 56:9)." Because without this explanation, it would be difficult to see how this verse hints at shedding tears over an upright person.

⁵ Ketubot 48a: A wife must be considered at least equal in status to her husband. If he comes from a higher social status, she ascends with him, i.e., to his social status. However, if she comes from a higher social status, she does not descend to his social status, but remains elevated.

⁶ Gen. 21:8-10 states that Isaac grew and was weaned, with Abraham hosting a great feast, and Sarah saw Ishmael playing (or laughing) [using the same word that is the root of Isaac's name] and "said to Abraham, 'Cast out that servant-woman and her son, for the son of that servant shall not share in the inheritance with my son, with Isaac.'"

Gen. Rabbah 53:11 explains this apparently uncharitable action. It quotes Rabbi Akiva that Ishmael's "playing" meant engaging in illicit sexual relations (as in Gen. 39:17). It quotes Rabbi Ishmael that Ishmael's "playing" meant engaging in idolatry (as in one interpretation of Ex. 32:6). It quotes Rabbi Elazar, the son of Rabbi Yosi the Galilean, that Ishmael's "playing" means spilling blood (as in Sam. II 2:14). It quotes Rabbi Azaria as quoting Rabbi Levi that Ishmael was aiming an arrow at Isaac, while pretending to be playing (as in Prov. 26:18-19). Rabbi Shimon bar Yochai said that the dispute was simply one of inheritance, that Ishmael was laughing at everyone's happiness during the feast to celebrate Isaac's weaning, saying, "You are fools, because I'm the firstborn and I will take a double portion," and thus Sarah answered that she didn't want Isaac to have to share his inheritance with Ishmael (let alone get a smaller portion).

Finally, Gen. Rabbah interprets the apparently redundant "with my son, with Isaac" of Gen. 21:10 as follows: "I wouldn't want my son to be influenced by Ishmael, even if my son was not a special boy. Furthermore, even if Isaac weren't my son, I still would recognize him as a special boy with great holiness and potential, and wouldn't want him to be influenced by Ishmael. Even more so, since Isaac is both my own son and also a boy imbued with great holiness and potential, I wouldn't want him to be influenced by Ishmael.

וּלְפִי הַפְּשָׁט יֵשׁ לוֹמֵר שֶׁהָמִּזְמוֹר מְסַיֵּים כִּי הָצַּלְתָּ נַפְשִׁי מִמְּנֶת, הָלֹא רַגְלֵי מָדֶּחִי, לְהִתְהַלֵּךְ לְפְנֵי אֱלֹהִים בְּאוֹר הַסַיִּים, שָׁמַע מִינָּה שֶׁבְּבָר מֵת וְנִפְטַר! וּמַהוּ כִּי הָצַלְתָּ נַפְשִׁי מִמְּנֶת? וְצָרִיךְ לוֹמֵר דְּמַיִירֵי עַל מִיתַהלֵּךְ לְפָנֵי אֱלְהִים בְּאוֹר הַסַיִּים, שָׁמַע מִינָּה שֶׁבְּבָר מֵת וְנִפְטַר! וּמַהוּ כִּי הָצַלְתָּ נַפְשִׁי מִמְּלֵת, וְאָמְרוּ בַּמִּדְרָשׁ הקב"ה רוֹאָה צַדִּיק אֶחָד וְנוֹטְלוֹ מִבֵּינֵיהֶם וּמֵגִין עַל כָּל הַדּוֹר. וְלָכֵן בָּעֵת שֶׁאָנוּ סִיּמַת הַצִּיִים שָׁמְּכַבֶּרָת, וְאָמְרוּ בַּמִּדְרָשׁ הקב"ה רוֹאָה צַדִּיק אֶחָד לְהִתְּהַלֵּךְ לְפְנֵיִךְ בָּאוֹר הַסִיִּים, וּבְזֶה הָצַלְתָּ נַפְשִׁי—דְּהַיְינוּ סִיְּבִיים מִיתָה מָה אַתָּה עוֹשֶׂה כְּדֵי לְהַצִּילֵנוּ, אַתָּה לוֹקֵם צַדִּיק אֶחָד לְהִתְּהַלֵּךְ לְפְנֵיִךְ בָּאוֹר הַסִיִּים, וּבְאָה רָצִי לְהָצִילִנוּ, אַהָּא אַף מִגְּזֵרוֹת קְשׁוֹת, בָּר מִינָן, וְזָהוּ הַלֹא רַגְלֵי מִדְּחִי.

One can note that the psalm concludes, "For You have saved me from death, my foot from stumbling, that I may walk before G-d in the light of the living" (Ps. 56:14). According to the plain meaning, the difficulty is that if one walks before G-d (in the light of the living), it sounds as if the person is already dead! So why does it say, "for You have saved me from death"? It is necessary to say that the judgment of the death of the righteous is an atonement, and it says in the Midrash (Gen. Rabbah 44:5), that the Holy One, Blessed be He, sees one righteous person and takes him from among them (i.e., G-d takes the life of the righteous person) and thus protects the entire generation. Therefore, the psalm can be understood as meaning that "at a time that we are worthy of death because of our sins, what You have done in order to save us, is that You take one righteous person to walk before You in the light of the living, and in this you saved me—that is all the members of my generation—from death. It's not enough that we were saved from death, but also from strict decrees (may it not happen to us), and this is the meaning of 'saved . . . my foot from stumbling.'"

ְּוְעוֹד יֵשׁ לוֹמֵר שֶׁנֹדִי חָסֵר נָא"ו הֵם אוֹתִּיּוֹת דִי"ן. וְנוֹדָע שֶׁשֶׁם אֲדֹנִי מוֹרָה עַל הַדִּין, שֶׁכֵּן שְׁלֹשׁ אוֹתִיּוֹת אַחֲרוֹנוֹת הֵם אוֹתִיּוֹת דִּי"ן. וְנוֹדָע שֶׁשֶׁם אֲדֹנִי מוֹרָה עַל שֵׁם אֶהָיֶ"ה שֶׁהוּא רַחֲמִים. וְאַף בְּאוֹתִיּוֹת דִין וְאוֹת אֶלֶ"ף הִיא בְּחִינַת הָרַחֲמִים הַמְּשׁוּתָּפִים עִם הַדִּין, שֶׁבָה הִיא מוֹרָה עַל שֵׁם אֶהְיֶ"ה שֶׁהִיא רַחֲמִים, אֶלָּא שֶׁבְּשֶׁהִיא בְּאָמְצֵע אוֹתִיּוֹת דִ"ן, נִשְׁאֶרֶת מוּבְלעַת בֵּינֵיהֶן וְאֵין לָהּ כֹּחַ לְפְעוֹל רַחֲמִים, וּמְכָּל מָקוֹם גוֹרָמֶת שֶׁלֹא יִהְיֶה רוֹגֶז גָּמוּר.

Furthermore, one can say with regard to Ps. 56:9 quoted above, that the word [nodi] ["my wanderings"], spelled without the vav, i.e., instead of being spelled הידי, has only the letters of the letters as a word spell din, justice. It is known that the title "L-rd" [אַדֹנָ":] teaches justice, as the last three letters similarly spell דִּין [din] ["justice"]. But the first letter of the title "L-rd", א [aleph], is the aspect of compassion that participates with justice, as separately taught by the name of G-d אָדְנָ": ["I will be"] that is itself compassionate. Even in the letters of the word דִין [din] ["justice"] there is the '[yud], which is itself merciful, but when it is between the letters of the letters of the word דָין [dan] ["sitting in judgment"], it remains concealed and doesn't have the power to act with complete compassion, though in any event it is effective that in justice, as din is spelled with a yud, there won't be complete anger.

⁷ Ex. 3:13-14: Moses said to G-d, "When I come to the Israelites and say to them, 'The G-d of your fathers has sent me to you,' and they ask me, 'What is His name?' what shall I say to them?" And G-d said to Moses, "I will be what I will be." He continued, "Thus shall you say to the Israelites, 'I will be sent me to you.'"

⁸ The name "I will be" is compassionate in that it implies the eternality of G-d, and also is seen as a promise that He will remain by the side of the Jewish people.

ְּוָזֶה סוֹד שֶׁאָנוּ מִתְפַּלְלִים בְּרֹגֶז רַחֵם תִּזְכּוֹר, וְהָנֵי מִילֵּי לְיִשְׂרָאֵל. אֲבָל בְּאוּמוֹת כְּתִיב דָּן אָנֹכִי, בְּדִין גָּמוּר. אָמְנֶם בָּהִשְׁתַּתְּף גַּם הָאָלֶ"ף יִהְיֶה הַשֵּׁם שָׁלֵם וְיִהְיֶה אַדְרַבָּא הַדִּין מּוּבְלַע. אֶלֶא לְפִי שֶׁהָם מֶחֲצָה עַל מֶחֲצָה שְׁתֵּי אוֹתִיּוֹת דִין וּשְׁתֵּי אוֹתִיּוֹת דִין וּשְׁתֵּי אוֹתִיּוֹת דִינָא רַפְיָא. וְאַף בְּמִיתַת הַצַּדִּיק אֵינוֹ דִּין גָּמוּר כָּל כָּךְ, שֶׁהָרֵי אֵין הַצַּדִּיק נִפְטֵר מִן הָעוֹלָם עַד הָנִא רַפְיָא. וְאַף בְּמִיתַת הַצַּדִּיק אֵינוֹ דִין גָּמוּר כָּל כָּךְ, שֶׁהָרִי הָעֵיה אַחַת בָּעוֹלָם הַבָּא מִכָּל חַיֵּי הָעוֹלָם הַבָּא מִכָּל חַיֵּי הָעוֹלָם הַבָּא מָכָל חַיֵּי הָעוֹלָם הַבָּא מַכָּל חַיֵּי הָעוֹיִם הַבָּה שַׁפָּיר הַצֵּירוּף שֶׁל נֹדִי.

This is an esoteric principle, that we pray, "Though angry, may You remember compassion" (Hab. 3:2), which applies to Israel. But for the nations, it is written, "I will judge" (Gen. 15:14), i.e., with complete justice. In fact, as said above, if [din] ["justice"] is spelled in partnership with an aleph and the letters reordered, then the title ["L-rd"] will be complete, and on the contrary justice will be intermingled with compassion, i.e., so the judgment will be tempered to some extent with mercy. Actually, the title ["L-rd"] is divided in half, with two of the letters, the daled and nun, representing justice, and two of the letters, the aleph and yud, representing compassion. Because of this division, the combination of [the title "L-rd"] is called a "lenient judgment." Even in the death of a righteous person there is not such a complete judgment, as "no righteous person departs from this world until another comparable righteous person is created" (Yoma 38b). Furthermore, concerning [the death of a righteous person], praise is due to him in that he goes to a carefree rest, 10 and "one hour ... in the World-to-Come is better than his entire life in this world" (Pirkei Avot 4:17). This partnership with an aleph is a good combination with 7½ ["my wanderings"].

וְאָמֵר הַכָּתוּב נֹדִי: בְּשָׁעָה שָׁאַתָּה עוֹשֶׂה דִּין בָּעוֹלָם לְהָמִית הַצַּדִּיק, סְפַּרְתָּ, אַתָּה סוֹפֵר דְּמְעוֹתַי, שִׂימָה דִמְעָתִי כְּלוֹמֵר הַיּוֹ"ד שָׁל דְמְעָתִי, בְנֹאֹדֶךְ עָם נא"ד. וְאָז יִהְיֶה שֵׁם אֲדֹנָי מָלֵא עִם הָאָלֶ"ף שֶׁל רַחֲמִים. הַלֹא בספרתך כְּמוֹ שֶׁאָמְרוּ בְּזוֹהַר אָתָר אִית לֵיה להקב"ה דְּאִיקְרֵי סִפֶּר, וְאִית סֵפֶּר לְעֵילָּא, וְאִית סֵפֶּר לְתַתָּא.

Scripture says "my wanderings": At the hour that You exact judgment in the world through the death of the righteous person, You count, You count my tears, put my tears [קֹנְיִתִי] into your flask, as if to say, the yud of the word for "my tears" represents compassion, that you put compassion into Your flask [קְנֵאֹרֶךְ] [binodecha] with the root having the spelling of a nun, an aleph, and a daled. Then, with the yud from "my tears" and the nun, aleph, and daled from "flask" the title of the L-rd will be complete with both the yud representing compassion and also the aleph representing compassion. Your counting is as it says in the Zohar II:56a on the parshat Beshalach (Ex. 13:17–17:16): "The Holy One, Blessed be He, has a place called a

⁹ Literally "soft judgment." The term appears in the Zohar, which contrasts the "hard judgment" (i.e., strict judgment) of the first day of Rosh Hashanah with the "soft judgment" (i.e., lenient judgment or mercy) of the second day. Gen. Rabbah 33:3 quotes Rabbi Shmuel bar Nachmani that in Scripture, the title *Elokim* (G-d) relates to the trait of strict judgment, while the Tetragrammaton relates to the trait of mercy. In reading Scripture and saying prayers, instead of pronouncing the Tetragrammaton, Jews pronounce the title "L-rd" (אדנ"י). Thus, as with the Tetragrammaton, the title "the become associated with the trait of mercy.

¹⁰ As discussed in Essay 1 of this parsha with regard to the woman of valor, that she laughs at the final day of her life because at her death she will go to a carefree rest. (See the text in Essay 1 associated with footnote 89.)

¹¹ Below, Rabbi Nachmani attributes this teaching to Rabbi David Finzi.

'Book [of Remembrance],' as it says, 'search and read it in the scroll of the L-rd [not one of these shall be absent, not one shall miss its fellow; for His mouth has spoken, it is His spirit that has assembled them]' (Isaiah 34:16)."¹² I.e., G-d records the deeds of people in the Book of Remembrance, and likewise counts the tears of a righteous person and records them there. It also says in the Zohar II:200a on the parsha Vayakhel (Ex. 35:1–38:20), "**And** He will write a Book of Remembrance. What is this? **There is an upper book, and there is a lower book.** A remembrance of an existing holy place, that was hurled from below upward, forever upward. The Book of Remembrance exists on two levels, as one entity."

וּמַה שֶׁאָמַר, הקב"ה סוֹפְרָן וּמַנִּיחָן בְּבֵית גְּנָזָיו, מִשׁוּם דִּנֹאֹדֶ"ךְ גִּימַטְריָּא גְּנָזָי"ו עָם הַכּוֹלֵל. וְעוֹד כִּי בְּבֵי"ת גְּנָזָי"ו הוּא בַּגִּימַטְריָּא ת"ץ, וְהַשֵּׁם הָרִאשׁוֹן שֶׁל שֵׁם מ"ב יֵשׁ בּוֹ רֶמֶז לְנְּפִילוֹת שֶׁל עֻלְמִין קַדְמָאִין. וְהוּא מִתְחַלֵּק לְשְׁנִי חְלָקִים, חַלֶּק אֶחָד בַּגִּימַטְריָּא י"ו וְחַלֶּק הַשֵּׁנִי הָם אוֹתִיּוֹת ת"ץ, שֶׁהֶעֶלְמִין הַנִּקְרָאִים אבג"י כַּלָּם נִתְּצוּ וְנִשְׁבְּרוּ, שֶׁהוּא סוֹף הַשֵּׁם ת"ץ. וְסוֹד מָתַת הַצֵּדִיקִים הִיא הַעֵּלָאַת מִ"ן וִקבּוּץ בְּזוּרִים.

What this is saying, is that the Holy One, Blessed be He, counts and places [tears] in His treasury, because אָנָיִ" ["Your flask"] has the Gematria value of אָנָיִ" ["His treasury"], with the total. Furthermore, בְּבִי" אָנָיִ" ["in His treasury"] (quoted above in Shabbat 105b) has a Gematria of 490, אָר", and the first name of the 42-letter name of G-d¹⁴ has within it a hint of the downfall of the early spiritual worlds that existed before the physical universe was created. This first name of six letters is divided into two parts, one part אבג", with the Gematria of 16, and a second part with the letters אבג", as the worlds which are called (i.e., represented by the end of the name, אבג", ["broken"]. The esoteric meaning of the death of the righteous is the raising of the gathering of the dispersed. 16

¹² The Hebrew word for "book" (ספר) (sefer), is derived from the same root as the Hebrew word for "counting" (ספירה) (sefira). I.e., a book is a telling or recounting of a story.

¹³ Using the Gematria method of adding the number of words to the total, the value of "your flask" [75] adding one for the word equals 76, which is the Gematria of "his storehouse."

¹⁴ This sentence and the next relates to the mystic prayer "Ana b'koach," attributed to Rabbi Nechuniah ben Hakanah. It contains seven verses, each with six words. The acronym of each verse forms a mystic six lettered name of G-d; and the acronym of the entire 42-word prayer forms a mystic 42-letter name of G-d. The first verse of the prayer is אָנָא בְּכִח גָּדֶלֹת יָמִינְךָּ תַּתִּיר צְּרוּדְה "Please! With the strength of your right hand's greatness, release the bound!" "Release the bound" is interpreted by some as "free Israel from our Exile," and is interpreted by others as "untie the bundled sins," i.e., the accumulated sins of Israel that are bound together as a barrier that prevents our prayers from ascending to the Heavenly Throne. The acronym of the first verse is therefore א"ב ג"י ת"ץ, which is divided in Zera Shimshon's discussion into the first four letters and the last two letters.

¹⁵ Ordered in two-letter groups, as א"ב ג"י ת"ץ, the acronym can be interpreted as *av* (father) *yud-gimmel* (the Gematria for 13, representing G-d's 13 attributes of mercy) and *tatz* (breaks, as in breaking the *klipot* of concealment and constriction). This is the hint at the destruction of spiritual worlds before the physical universe was created.

¹⁶ מ"ין נוקבין is an acronym for מיין נוקבין (the waters of the feminine principle). The feminine principle in Kabbalah describes a vessel that receives the outward male light, then inwardly nurtures and gives birth to lower Sefirot. The waters of the feminine principle seek to rise upward toward the male principle. Rabbi Nachmani seems to be saying, "Please! With the strength of your right hand's greatness" relates to the rising of the waters of the feminine principle, and that "Release the bound" relates to the end of Israel's Exile by the gathering of Jews from around the world.

ּוְכֵן הַהָּסְפֵּד שֶׁעוֹשִׁים עֲלֵיהֶם סוֹדוֹ הוּא, לְהַצְּלוֹת נְשָׁמוֹת עֲשׁוּקוֹת עִמֶּהֶם לְגַן עֵדֶן. וּלְפִי הַכֹּחַ וְהָרִיבּוּי שֶׁל הַדְּמָעוֹת, כָּדְ מִתְרבָּה הַמִּיקוּן לָמַעֲלֶה. וְלָכֵן דָּיֵיק לוֹמַר, הקב"ה סוֹפְרָן, שֶׁסּוֹפֶר אוֹתָן הַדְּמָעוֹת, וְנוֹדְע שֻׁחַרְבָּן הָעָלְמִין קַדְמָאִין הוּא בַּסּוֹד הָעַיִן, פְּקח עֵינֶיךְ וּרְאָה שׁמְמֹתֵינוּ. וְאָם כֵן הַדְּמָעוֹת הֵם דָּבָר בְּעִתוֹ מַה טוֹב בָּעֵת מִיתַּת הַצֵּדִּיק וְחַרְבָּן עוֹלְמוֹ, שֶׁהַצִּדִּיק יְסוֹד עוֹלְם פְּקח עֵינֶיךְ וּרְאָה שׁמְמֹתֵינוּ. וְאָם כֵן הַדְּמָעוֹת הֵם דָּבָר בְּעִתוֹ מֵה טוֹב בְּעֵת מִיתַּת הַצִּדִּיק וְחַלְבָּן עוֹלְמוֹ, שֶׁהַבּיד מוֹרֵינוּ הָרַב רַבִּי דָּוִד וְקְשֶׁה לֹפְנֵי הקב"ה סִילּוּקָן שֶׁל צַדִּיקִים, וְדִוְקָא מִפְּנֵי שְׁנּוֹזְלִים מֵהָעֵיִן. וְכֵן פֵּירֵשׁ הַמְּקוּבָּל הָאֱלֹהִי כָּבוּד מוֹרֵינוּ הָרַב רַבִּי דָּוִד בִּינִין אַחַר, שִׁימָה דִמְעָתִי בְנֹאֹדֶךְ, היוֹ"ד עַל נא"ד, שֶׁהֵם אוֹתִיּוֹת שֶׁל הַשֶּׁם הַקְּדוֹשׁ.

Thus, the eulogy made for [the righteous] has a great esoteric meaning, to elevate oppressed souls¹⁷ with them to the Garden of Eden. According to the strength of the multitude of tears, the corrective actions above that are required to address any sin committed by the righteous will be multiplied. Therefore, it is precise to say, the Holy One, Blessed be He, counts them, that He counts these tears, and it is known that the destruction of the early worlds is an esoteric secret of the eye, as it says, "Open Your eyes and see our desolation" (Dan. 9:18). If so, the tears are a good thing at the time of the death of the righteous person and the destruction of his world. For the righteous person is a foundation of the world and the removal of the righteous from before G-d is difficult, and especially because of the streaming of tears from the eye. Therefore, the holy Kabbalist, my great teacher David Finzi of blessed memory, explained on a different matter as noted above, with regard to, "put my tears into your flask," the yud from "my tears" in combination with the nun, aleph, and daled of "flask", these are the letters of the Holy Name (L-rd).

* * *

¹⁷ Oppressed souls are those that suffer from the remembrances of the sins they committed while alive, not realizing that G-d will also remember their good deeds and that He will go beyond the letter of the law to be lenient toward them. *See*, *e.g.*, Rabbi Moshe Cordovero (1522–70), Pardes Rimonim, 31:7:4.

¹⁸ "The removal of the righteous is more difficult for the Holy One, Blessed be He, . . . than the destruction of the Temple." Eichah Rabbah 1:37.

¹⁹ Rabbi David ben Uzziel Finzi, rabbi and Kabbalist in Mantua, Italy, was the father-in-law of Moshe Chayim Luzzatto (the Ramchal), and a teacher of Rabbi Nachmani. His sermons, entitled "Shetach ha'Ohel," of a Kabalistic character, are not known to have been published, but reportedly still exist in manuscript.