Zera Shimshon

by Rabbi Shimshon Chaim Nachmani zt"l Published Mantua 1778*

Chapter VIII: Vayishlach (Gen. 32:4–36:43)

Essay 3. Obadiah's rescue of one hundred prophets

אָכָרָק ד' דְּסַנְהָדְרִין מִפְּנֵי מַה זָכָה עוֹבַדְיָה לְוְבִיאוּת וְכוּ' מִפְּנֵי שֶׁהֶחְבִּיא "מֵאָה נְבִיאִים ... בַּמְעָרָה" דְּכְתִיב וְכוּ', וּמַאי שְׁנָא חָמִשִׁים חַמִשִׁים, אָמר רַבִּי אֶלְעָזָר מִיַּעֲקֹב לָמד "וְהָיָה הַמַּחַנֶה הַנִּשְׁאָר לִפְלִיטָה", ר' אָבָהוּ אָמר לְפִי שֶׁלֹא הָיְתָה הַמְעָרָה מְסַגֶּקת יוֹתֵר מְסַמְשִׁים אִישׁ. וְהַקְשָׁה מַהַרְשָׁ"א מִי דָּחַקוּ לוֹמַר שֶׁמִיַּעֲקֹב לָמד עוֹבַדְיָה לַעֲשׁוֹת כַן, וְדִילְמָא מִסְּבָרָא דְּנַפְשִׁיה מְסַגֶּקת יוֹתֵר מְסַמְשִׁים אִישׁ. וְהַקְשָׁה מַהַרְשָׁ"א מִי דָּחַקוּ לוֹמַר שֶׁמִיּעֲקֹב לָמִד עוֹבַדְיָה לַעֲשׁוֹת כַן, וְדִילְמָא מִסְּבָרָא דְּנַפְשִׁיה עְבַד הָכִי כְּמוֹ שֶׁעָשׁה יַעֲקֹב כְּדֵי שִׁיּהִיוּ הַנִּשְׁאָרִים לַפָּליטָה, וְתֵירֵץ בְּדוֹסַק וְעיי"ש. וְעוֹד צָרִיך עִיוּן דּלְר' אֲבָהוּ דְאָמַר שָׁלָא הַיְתָה הַמְעָרָה הַמְעָרָה מְסוּגָקת יוֹתַר וְכוּי אם כֵּן מַה בָּא הַכָּלִיטָה.

There is a Gemara in the fourth chapter of tractate Sanhedrin:

Rabbi Yitzchak said: For what [reason] did Obadiah merit prophecy? Because he brought "one hundred prophets . . . in a cave," as it is written: "It was so, when Jezebel cut off the prophets of the Lord, that Obadiah took one hundred prophets, and hid them, fifty men in a cave, [and fed them with bread and water]."¹

[The Gemara asks:] What is different [i.e., why did he decide, to conceal] fifty [prophets in one cave and] fifty [prophets in a second cave, and not conceal them all together in one cave]? Rabbi Elazar said: He learned [this] from Jacob, as is said: "[Jacob was greatly frightened; in his anxiety, he divided the people with him, and the flocks and herds and camels, into two camps, thinking, 'If Esau comes to the one camp and attacks it,] the remaining camp may escape.' "² Rabbi Abbahu said: Because there is no cave [in the area] big enough to contain more than fifty people.

- Sanhedrin 39b

The Maharsha³ questions who forced [Rabbi Elazar] to say that Obadiah learned this from Jacob? Perhaps it was of his own opinion that he acted thus, as Jacob did, in order that a remnant would escape. His solution is forced, and see there. The Maharsha writes that if Obadiah had relied on his own opinion, just as Scripture explained what Jacob did and his motive,

^{*} English translation: Copyright © 2022 by Charles S. Stein. Additional essays available at https://zstorah.com

¹ I Kings 18:4.

² Gen. 32:8–9.

³ Rabbi Shmuel Eliezer Eidels (1555–1631), Polish rabbi famous for his Talmud commentaries, *Chiddushei Halachot* and *Chiddushei Agadot*.

Scripture would have explained Obadiah's reason: that if Jezebel came to attack fifty, that there would be a remnant [of another fifty] that escaped. Because Scripture did not explain this, we understand that Obadiah did not decide this on his own, but learned it from Jacob.

Investigation is also required that Rabbi Abbahu said that there was not a cave that could hold more than fifty people. **If so, what is Scripture coming to inform us** by appearing to emphasize that he put them into two different caves?

וְיֵשׁ לוֹמִר דְּאָמְרינֵן בִּירוּשׁלְמִי דְּפָאָה דְּבָדוֹרוֹ שֶׁל אַחָאָב לֹא הָיוּ בָּהֶם דֵּלָטוֹרין, שֶׁהֲרִי אֵלְיָהוּ מַכְרִיז בְּהֵר הַכַּרְמֶל "אָנִי נוֹתַרְתִי נָבִיא לַה' לְבַדִּי" וְכָל־עַמָּא יָדְעִין וְלָא מְפָּרְסְמִין לְמַלְכָּא שָׁעוֹבַדְיָה הֶחִבִּיא מֵאָה נְבִיאִים וְכוּ' וְעִיי"ש. וְהוֹאִיל שֶׁכָּל הָעוֹלָם הָיוּ יוֹדְעִים זֶה אֵין סְבַרָא לוֹמֵר שֶׁעוֹבַדְיָה הֶחְבִּיאָם בִּשְׁתֵּי מְעָרוֹת כְּדֵי שָׁאֵם תַּהָרֵא מֵאָה נְבִיאִם וְכוּ' וְעִיי"ש. וְהוֹאִיל שֶׁכָּל הָעוֹלָם הָיוּ יוֹדְעִים זֶה אֵין סְבַרָא לוֹמֵר שֶׁעוֹבַדְיָה הֶחְבִּיאָם בִּשְׁתֵּי מְעָרוֹת כָּדֵי שָׁאֵם תַּהָרֵג הָאַחַת לֹא יְפַרְסְמוּ לְמֶלֶה הָרֵי כּוּלָם נִיצוֹלִים וְאָם לָאו הָרֵי כּוּלָם מָתִים, וְקַשָּׁה לָמָה הֶחְבִּיאָם בִּשְׁתֵי מְעָרוֹת, וְזוֹ הִיא קוּשִׁיַת הַגְּסָבָר מַמִים, וְקַשָּׁה לָמָר שָׁרָא חָמִישִׁים הַימָשָּרות, וְזוֹ הִיא קוּשִׁיַת הַגְּסָרָא

It can be said what is said in the Jerusalem Talmud of tractate Peah (chapter 1, halacha 1), that in the generation of Ahab, there were no common informers among the Jews. That is, Elijah proclaimed on Mount Carmel, "I remain the sole prophet of the L-rd,"⁴ and everybody knew that there were at least one hundred others, and yet nobody informed the king that Obadiah had hidden one hundred prophets. As everyone knew this but wouldn't tell the king, there is no reason to say that Obadiah hid them in two caves so that if one group was killed, the other would be saved. Whichever way you look at it, if they hadn't informed the king, then all would have saved, and if not, i.e., if someone had informed the king, then all would have saved them. Thus, they could have all been hidden in one cave [assuming there was a large enough cave]. If the king had learned of it, then splitting them between two caves wouldn't have saved them. Thus, the question is why he hid them in two caves, and this is the difficulty of the Gemara: "What is different [i.e., why did he decide, to conceal] fifty [prophets in one cave and] fifty [prophets in a second cave, and not conceal them all together in one cave]?"

ןְתֵּירֵץ מִיַּעֲקֹב לָמַד, כְּלוֹמַר לְעוֹלָם לֹא הָיָה צָרידְּ עוֹבִדְיָה לַעֲשׂוֹת כָּדְ, אֶלָּא לְפִי שֶׁיַעֲקֹב עָשָׂה כָּדְ, וְאָמְרינֵן בְּמִדְרָשׁ עַל הַפָּסוּק "וַיַּחַץ" וְכוּ' שֶׁמַכָּאן יֵשׁ לֹלְמוֹד דֶּרֶדְ אֶרֶץ שֶׁלֹּא יִתֵּן אָדָם כּּל מָמוֹנוֹ בְּזָוִית אַחַת וְכוּ'. אָם הוּא לֹא יַעֲשֶׁה כֵן לֹא הָיוּ יוֹדְעִים הַדּוֹרוֹת שֶׁהוּא הָיָה מַכִּיר וְרָגִיל בִּבְנֵי דּוֹרוֹ שֶׁלֹּא יִמְטְרְגוּ אוֹתוֹ, אֶלָּא יֹאמְרוּ שָׁאַף עַל פּי שֶׁיָּעָלוּ לָחוּשׁ פָּן אֵיזָה אָדָם בְּלַיַע הַדּוֹרוֹת שֶׁהוּא הָיָה מַכִּיר וְרָגִיל בִּבְנֵי דּוֹרוֹ שֶׁלֹּא יִמְטְרְגוּ אוֹתוֹ, אֶלָּא יֹאמְרוּ שָׁאַף עַל פּי שֶׁהָיָה לוֹ לְחוּשׁ פָּן אֵיזָה אָדָם בְּלַיַעַל יַכּרְסֵם הַדָּבָר לְמֶלֶדְ עִם כּּל זֶה סָמַהְ עַל הַנָּס. וְאַדְרַבָּא הָיוּ לוֹמְדִים מְמָנוּ לֹסְמוֹד עַל הַנוּ לָמָמוּ עַל הַנָּטוֹ יְכַרְסָם הַדָּבָר לְמֶלֶה עָם כּּל זֶה סָמָה עַל הַנָּס. וְאַדְרַבָּא הָיוּ לוֹמְדִים מְמָנּוּ לַסְמוּד עַל הַנ הָינּרָשָא הְפֵּך אָבָין הַיָּיָם בּיָרָן אָרָרָי אָרָרָ אָדָם בְּכָל מָמוֹם הֶרָיזַקא הַפֵּדְ הַעָּלָהוּ דֶלָה אָרָטוּ דָרָרָא הָיָה זָרָים גַיּנוּ לוֹמָדִים מַיּנָד מָאָדָים בּיָר לְשָׁינוּם בּעָיהוּ הַיָּרָים בּירָן הָכָּרָל זמון הייז מָרָין בָּרָיחָן בָּרָין הַמָּכָּאן גַשׁין לַמָּדי הָרָרָים בָּרָר אָמָרָי הָן אָיָדָם בּרָכָנים וּבְרָכָל מָמוּם הַדָּבָר לְמָלוּ הָעָא הָעָדָם בָּרָר אָהָיוּ דָרָעים בּיּרָין הָים בּיּאָר הָיָה מַכּים הַיָּבין לָבָיוּ הַיּבָר לָמָלוּ הַינָטָר גָוּ הָיוּים בָּינוּ הָירָים בּאָר לָנוּ הָישָרָים בּיר

[Rabbi Elazar's] solution is that he learned it from Jacob, as if to say that Obadiah didn't have to do that, rather it was only because Jacob did so that he did the same. It says in the Midrash Gen. Rabbah 76:3 on the verse, "he divided the people with him, and the flocks and herds and camels, into two camps," that from here we learn the proper practice, that a person

⁴ I Kings 18:22.

shouldn't put all of his money in one corner, i.e., in one place. If [Obadiah] did not do so and divide the one hundred prophets, the generations would not know that he was familiar with and accustomed to the fact that his contemporaries would not persecute him. Rather, they would say that even though he had a feeling that some worthless person would announce this involvement of hiding the prophets to the king, with all this, he relied on the miracle that they would all survive. To the contrary, they would learn from him the wrong lesson: to trust in the miracle and to trust in the Holy One, Blessed be He, even where danger is to be expected. That is, instead of acting to mitigate the damage, [Obadiah] would have doing the opposite of the proper practice that Jacob taught us. But he did divide the prophets, and what he learned from Jacob would be to take to heart that people would learn this proper practice, to mitigate damage, in every place and every time, regardless of whether they perceived a danger.

וְאָתֵי שֵׁפִּיר לְפִי זֶה לְשׁוֹן הַמְדְרָשׁ "וַיַּחַץ אֶת־הָעָם" לִימֶדְהָ תּוֹרָה דֶּרָהְ אֶרָץ שֶׁלֹּא יִתּן אָדָם כּּל מָמוֹנוֹ וְכוּ' מִמִי אַתָּה לָמַד מִיַּעֲקֹב וְכוּ' שֶׁנָּאֲמַר "וַיַּחַץ" וְכוּ' וְכֵן הַפָּתוּב אוֹמַר "וַיַּחְבִּיאֵם חָמִשִׁים אִישׁ בַּמְעָרָה" עכ"ל. וְאָם אַף עוֹבַדְיָה לַמַּד מִיַעַקֹב, לֹא אָתֵי שַׁפִּיר, וְכֵן הַפָּתוּב אוֹמֵר, שֶׁהָרֵי עוֹבַדְיָה אֵינוֹ מְלַמֵּד לָנוּ כְּלוּם.

This understanding is fine according to this language of the Midrash "he divided the people," it was to teach you the proper practice, that a man should not put all his money in one corner, and similarly, if one has to conceal friends from danger, to divide them into [at least] two camps. From whom do you learn this? From Jacob, etc., as it is said, "he divided the people with him," and also the Scripture says, "and he hid them, fifty men in a cave."

If Obadiah didn't have the idea on his own to split the prophets, and just learned this from Jacob, then the understanding we've developed of the Midrash above wouldn't have been fine, similarly the Scripture says that he split them into two, so if we don't have a deeper understanding of the Midrash and Scripture, then Obadiah isn't teaching us anything.

אֶלָּא נַדַּאי צָרִידְ לוֹמַר שֶׁעוֹבַדְיָה לא עָשָׂה זָה אֶלָּא בִּשְׁבִיל שֶׁלַמַד מַיַּעֲקֹב לְהוֹרוֹת דָּרָדְ אֶרָץ זֶה לְרַבִּים, אֲבָל הוּא עָצְמוֹ לא הָיָה צָרידְ לְכָדְ כַנִ"ל. דְמַמָּה נַפְשָׁדְ הוֹאִיל שֶׁעַתִיד הַדָּבָר לְהִתְפַּרְסֵם מַחַמַת הַמַּיִם וְהַמָזוֹן שֶׁהָיָה צָרידְ לְהָבִיא לָהָם, או הִיו נְמְלָטִים כּוּלָם או הִיוּ נָאֶבָדים כּוּלָם. וְאִין הָכִי נָמֵי שָׁאַף מַעוֹבַדְיָה אָנוּ למְדִים שֶׁאֲפִילוּ לְחַלֵּק מָמוֹנַנוּ בִּשְׁנֵי מְקוֹמוֹת, עַם כּּל זֶה יֵשׁ לָנוּ לַעֲשׂוֹת כָּדָ, שֶׁמַיבַיָּה אָנוּ למְדִים שָׁאֲפִילוּ בָּמָקוֹם שָׁאֵין נִרְאָה לָנוּ שָׁמוֹעִיל כְּלוּם לְחַלֵּק מָמוֹנַנוּ בִשְׁנֵי מְקוֹמוֹת, עַם כּּל זֶה יֵשׁ לָנוּ לַעֲשׂוֹת כָּדָ, שֶׁמַיַעָּלִב לֹא הָיִינוּ לוֹמְדִים אָלָא דַוְקָא בַּמְקוֹם דְּאָפְשָׁר שָׁאָם יְאַבָּד חַלָּק אָחָד יִהָיָה הַשֵּׁנִי לְפָלִיטָה.

But surely it should be said that Obadiah did this only because he learned from Jacob to instruct many people through this land, but he himself did not need to do so, as above, because there were no informers. Whichever way you look at it, since in the future the matter would become known because of the water and the food that he would need to bring to them, either they would all have fled or they would have all been lost. It is indeed so that from Obadiah, we learn that in a place where we do not think that there is any benefit for us to divide our money or valuables or people between two places, nevertheless we should do so. For from Jacob, we would have learned to do this only in a place where it was possible that if one part of it would be lost, the other would escape.

וְר' אֲבָהוּ בָּא לַחֲלוֹק וְלוֹמַר שָׁאַף עַל כִּי שֶׁדֶּרֶהְ אֶרֶץ הוּא כָּהְ, אֲכִילוּ הָכִי בַּפַּעַם הַזֹאת לא הָיָה לוֹ לְעוֹבַדְיָה לַעֲשׂוֹת כָּהְ, לְכִי שֶׁכְּשֶׁהֵם בִּשְׁתֵּי מְעָרוֹת אָוושָׁא מִילְתָא טוּבָא לְהָבִיא מַיִם וְלֶחֶם לשְׁתֵּי מְעָרוֹת יוֹתֵר מָשֶׁאם הָיוּ כּוּלָם בִּמְעָרָה אַחַת, דְמִי הְבָטִיחוּ שֶׁאֵיזֶה בְּלַיַעַל לֹא יְכִרְסֵם הַדֶּבָר, וּלְהַנִּיחָם בִּשְׁתֵּי מְעָרוֹת הָיוּ יוֹתֵר בַּסַּכָּנָה וְלָמָה לֹא הַנִּיחָם בִּמְעָרָה אַחַת.

Rabbi Abbahu came to disagree, and to say that even though customary practice is like this, even so at this time, Obadiah did not do so. That is because if they would be in two caves, it would be very noticeable to bring water and food to two caves, more than if all were in one cave. For who guaranteed that some worthless person wouldn't publicize the matter? So to put them in two caves would be more dangerous. Thus, why not place them in one cave?

וַתַּירֵץ לִפִי שֶׁלֹא הָיָה אֶפְשָׁר, שֶׁהַמְעָרוֹת שֶׁלָּהֶם לֹא הָיוּ מַחַזִיקוֹת אֶלָּא חַמִישִׁים חָמִישִׁים וְהַכָּתוּב אוֹמֵר "וַיַּחְבִּיאֵם ... בַּמְעָרָה" וּמָה אִיכְפַת לָן לְדַעַת אִם הָחְבִיאֵם שָׁם אוֹ בְּמָקוֹם אַחֵר. אֶלָּא וַדַּאי בָּא לְהוֹדִיעֵנוּ שֶׁבַּמָקוֹם דְּשְׁכִיחַ הֶיגַּקָא לְהָנִיחַ הַמַּמוֹן בִשְׁתֵּי מְקוֹמוֹת יוֹתֵר מִלְהָנִיחַ אוֹתוֹ בְּמָקוֹם אָחָד, שַׁפִּיר טְפֵי לְהַנִּיחוֹ בְּמָקוֹם אָחָד. וְהָכָא שָׁאנֵי שֶׁהַמָּנוֹם דְּשְׁכִיח מְסַגַּמון בִשְׁתֵּי מְקוֹמוֹת יוֹתֵר מִלְהָנִיחַ אוֹתוֹ בְּמָקוֹם אָחָד, שַׁפִּיר טְפֵי לְהַנִּיחוֹ בְמָקוֹם אָחָד. וְהָכָא שָׁאנֵי שֶׁהַמְעָרָה לֹא הָיָתָה מְסַגָּקָת יוֹתֵר, דְאִי לָאו הָכִי הָיָה לוֹ לָחוּשׁ בַּתְּחָבִיאֵם בְּשָׁהָיבִיאַ בִּשְׁתִי מְעָרוֹת פָּן יָבו

His solution is that this was not possible, that their caves only held fifty men in one and fifty men in the other, and the Scripture says, "and he hid them, fifty men in [each] cave," and what difference does it make to us to know if he hid them there or in a difference place? Rather, Rabbi Abbahu's view is that certainly [Scripture] came to inform us that in a place where expected danger is greater if one is to put the money or valuables or people in two places, then it is better to put it in one place. But here it's different, for the cave wouldn't hold more than fifty men, for if that's not the case, [Obadiah] would have feared from the start when he brought them to two caves, lest some worthless man come and publicize the matter to the king.

* * *