Zera Shimshon

by Rabbi Shimshon Chaim Nachmani zt"l Published Mantua 1778*

Chapter XI: Vayigash (Gen. 44:18–47:27)

Essay 3. The recognition of Joseph

מִדְרָשׁ "וַיּאֹמֶר יוֹסֵף אֶל־אֶחָיו אֲנִי יוֹסֵף הַעוֹד אָבִי" וְכוּ' "כִּי נִבְהַלוּ" וְכוּ'. אוֹי לָנוּ מִיּוֹם הַדִּין אוֹי לָנוּ מִיּוֹם הַתּוֹכַחָה, יוֹסֵף קְטנָן שֶׁל שְׁבָטִים "לאֹ־יָכְלוּ אֶחָיו לַעֲנוֹת אֹתוֹ כִּי נִבְהָלוּ מִפָּנָיו", לְכְשֶׁיָבא הקב"ה וְיוֹכִיחַ כֹּל אֶחָד וְאֶחָד לְפִי מַעֲשָׁיו עַל אַחַת כַּמָה וְכַמֶּה עַכ"ל.

There is a Midrash:

"Joseph said to his brothers, 'I am Joseph. Is my father still alive?' But his brothers could not answer him, so dumbfounded were they on account of him."¹ Woe unto us, from the day of judgment. Woe unto us, from the day of the rebuke. Balaam was the wisest of the gentiles, yet he couldn't withstand his donkey's rebuke. Joseph was the youngest of the tribes, [but] "his brothers could not answer him, so dumbfounded were they on account of him." How much more so when the Holy One, Blessed be He, will come and rebuke each and every one according to his actions.

- Gen Rabbah 93:10

צָרִיךּ עִיּוּן מַה צוֹרֵךּ לָרְאָיָה וְכִי אָם לֹא הָיָה מַעֲשֶׂה זֶה שֶׁל יוֹסֵף וְאָחִיו בָּעוֹלָם לֹא הָיִינוּ אוֹמְרִים מָסְבָרָא אוֹי לָנוּ פְּשֶׁיָבאֹ הקב"ה וְיוֹכִים כָּל אָחָד וְאָחָד לְפִי מַעֲשָׁיו. וְהַלֹא הוּא דָּבָר פָּשׁוּט שֶׁהּשֵּׁכָל מְחַייְבוֹ, וְעַל כָּרְחָדָ לוֹמַר שֶׁהמִדְרָשׁ רוֹצָה לֹלְמוֹד מִפְּסוּק זֶה אֵיזוֹ סְבָרָא חֲדָשָׁה.

Question 1: We need to investigate what need there was for the proof that the story of Joseph tells us we should worry about G-d punishing us for our sins. If not for this incident of Joseph and his brothers, wouldn't we still have said from our reasoning, "Woe unto us, when the Holy One, Blessed be He, will come and rebuke each and every one according to his actions"? Isn't this something obvious that is required by the intellect? It is necessary to say that the Midrash wants to learn some new wisdom from this verse.

^{*} English translation: Copyright © 2022 by Charles S. Stein. Additional essays at <u>https://www.zstorah.com</u> ¹ Gen. 45:3.

וְנָעִיר תְּחַלָּה לְמָה לוֹ לְיוֹסֵף לִשְׁאוֹל "הַעוֹד אָבִי חֵי" תַּכֶף שֶׁאָמַר לָהֶם "אָנִי יוֹסַף", שֶׁזֶּה נִרְאָה כְּמִי שֶׁבָּא לְהוֹכִיחָם עַל שֶׁגָּרְמוּ צער לַאֲבִיהֶם בַּמְכִירָתוֹ, שֶׁלֹא הָיָה לוֹ לְחִיוֹת. וּפֵירוּשׁ זֶה כְּתָבוּהוּ הַמְּפָרְשִׁים וְלֹא יִתָּכַן, דַאֲתָא לנָחוּמִינְהוּ וְצַעֲרינְהוּ, וּבְתָחַלַת דְּכַרִיו לֹא הָיָה לוֹ לְהוֹכִיחָם. וְעוֹד אִם כְּבָר אָמְרוּ לוֹ "שָׁלוֹם לְעַבְדְּדָּ לָאָבִינוּ עוֹדָנוּ חָיי לְעֵיל בְּסָמוּדְ. וְעוֹד לָמָה אָמַר "אָבִי" וְלֹא אַמַר "אָבִינוּ", כְּנָאָמָר לְעֵיל בְּסַמוּדְ. וְעוֹד לָמָה אָמַר "אָבִי" וְלֹא אַמַר "אָבִינוּ", כְּנָרָאָה שֶׁהִסִיעָ עָצְמוֹ מן הָאַחָוָה. וּבְתְחַלַּת דְּבָרָיו הָיָה לוֹ לִדְבָּר לְעֵיל בְּסָמוּדְ. וְעוֹד לָמָה אָמַר "אָבִי" וְלֹא אַמַר "אָבִינוּי, כְּנָה לָי הָיָה לָי בָרָרָאָה שָׁהָסִיע

Question 2: We will remark first why Joseph asked, "Is my father still alive," immediately upon saying to them, "I am Joseph." He appears as one who comes to rebuke them because they caused grief to their father by selling him, that [Jacob] should not still be alive because of his grief. The commentators wrote this interpretation, but it is not correct. Instead, the case is that he came to comfort them but instead afflicted them; at the beginning of his words he did not mean to rebuke them.

Question 3: Also, as they had already said to him, "It is well with your servant our father; he is still alive,"² why did he need to ask, as it says above in the adjoining essay?³

Question 4: Also, why did he say "my father," and not say "our father," as it appears that he is excluding himself from the brotherhood?

Question 5: At the beginning of his words, he should have said pleasant things, instead of asking, "Is my father still alive."

Question 6: Also, what answer should they have given, for which the Scripture gives the reason that they weren't able to answer because they were dumbfounded?

וְיֵשׁ לוֹמֵר דְּאִיתָא בִּכְתוֹבּוֹת (דַּף כ"ז) מָרִי בַּר אִיסַק, אָתָא לֵיהּ אַחָא מִבֵּי חוֹזָאָה, אָמֵר לֵיהּ: פּּלוֹג לי בְּנָכְסֵי דְּאַבָּא. אֲמֵר לֵיה: לָא יָדַעְּנָא לָדְ. אָתָא לְקַמֵּיה דְּרַב חִסְדָּא. אֲמֵר לֵיהּ: שׁפּיר קָאָמַר לָדְ, דְּכָתִיב: "וַיַּבּר יוֹסַף אֶת־אָחָיו וְהֵם לֹא הִפּרֵהוּ", מְלַמֵּד שֶׁיּצָא בְּלֹא חָתִימַת זָקָן וּבָא בַּחֲתִימַת זָקָן. וְכָתְבוּ הַתּוֹסָפּוֹת שֵׁפּיר קָאָמַר לָדְ, זֶה שֶׁבָּא נוֹלִד כָּאן וְהָלַךְּ עִם אָבִיו למְדִינַת הָיָם וְעַתָּה כְּשֶׁחָת וְאַמַר לָא יִדַעְנָא לָדְ מִיחָזָא כְשָׁיקָן. וְכָתְבוּ הַתּוֹסָפּוֹת שׁפּיר קַאָמַר לָדְ, זָה שֶׁבָּא נוֹלִד כָּאן וְהָלַךְ עִם אָבִיו למְדִינַת הָיָם וְעַתָּה כְּשֶׁחָת וְאַמַר לָא יִדַעְנָא לָדְ מִיחָזָא כְשִׁיקָרָא, כִּי הָיָה לוֹ לידַע אָם הוּא אָחִיו אָם לָאו כְּיוֹן שֶׁמַכּירוֹ קוֹדָם, לְהָכִי קָאָמַר רַב חִסַדָּא דְשׁפִיר קַאַמַר לִיה שֵׁאֵינוֹ מַכִירוֹ כָּדָאַשָׁכּחָן בִיוֹסָר עַכ"ל.

It can be said that it's brought in tractate Ketubot, page 27:

[There was the case of] Mari bar Isak, and some say [it was] Chana bar Isak, [where someone claiming to be his] brother came to him from Bei Choza'a [a district located far from the Jewish population centers in Babylonia]. [This brother] said to him: Apportion me [a share] in my father's property. [Mari] said to him: I do not know you. [The brother] came before Rav Chisda [seeking a legal remedy]. [Rav Chisda] said to him: [Your brother] is speaking well [and his response is well founded], as it is written: "For though Joseph recognized his brothers, they did not recognize him."⁴ This teaches that [Joseph] left [home]

² Gen. 43:28.

³ Chapter X, Mikeitz, the end of Essay 3.

⁴ Gen. 42:8.

without the semblance of a beard and came [to meet his brothers] with the semblance of a beard.

- Ketubot 27b

The Tosafists wrote to explain Rav Chisda's comment:

"[Your brother] is speaking well."—The one who came now [from Bei Choza'a] was born here [in Babylon], but [then] went overseas with his father. Now, when he returned and [Mari] said, "I do not recognize you," it appeared to be a lie [at first glance], for [Mari] should have known whether or not it was his brother, since he knew him beforehand. Therefore, Rav Chisda said that it was proper that he said that he did not recognize him, as we find regarding Joseph. [I.e., by citing Joseph, Rav Chisda was explaining to the returning son that Mari was not necessarily lying, but that just as Joseph's brothers didn't recognize Joseph after 22 years apart, it's possible that Mari truly didn't recognize his brother].

- Tosafot on Ketubot 27b

ַוְכָאן כְּבַר הָיָה יוֹדַעַ יוֹסָף שָׁאָחִיו לא הָיּוּ מַכִּירִים אוֹתוֹ, שֶׁכַּמָה פְּעָמִים הָיוּ לְפָנָיו וְלֹא הָכִּירוּהוּ. וְחָשׁׁב בְּדַעְתוֹ שָׁגַם כְּשָׁיאֹמַר לְהֶם שֶׁהוּא יוֹסַף לֹא יַאָמִינוּהוּ, כְּעוֹבָדָא דְמָרִי בַּר אִיסק. וְלָכֵן מָה עָשָׁה, תֵּכֶף שֶׁאָמַר לָהֶם "אֲנִי יוֹסַף הַעוֹד אָבִי חַי", כְּלוֹמַר כְּשָׁאַנִי אוֹמַר לְכֶם שֶׁאַנִי יוֹסַף. יַשׁ לָכֶם לְהַכִּיבִנִי הוֹאִיל שֶׁרְאִיתָם אוֹתִי קוֹדֵם לְכֵן, כִּסְבַרָת הַתּוֹסָפוֹת, וְאַכִּילוּ אָבִי חַי", כְּלוֹמַר כְּשָׁאַנִי אוֹמַר לְכֶם שֶׁאַנִי יוֹסַף. יַשׁ לָכֶם לְהַכִּיבנִי הוֹאִיל שֶׁרְאִיתָם אוֹתִי קוֹדֵם לְכֵן, כִּסְבַרָת הַתּוֹסָפוֹת, וְאַכִּילוּ אָם אֵין אַתָּם כְּשָׁאַנִי אוֹמֵר לְכָם שָׁאַנִי יוֹסַף. יַשׁ לָכֶם לְהַכִּיבנִי הוֹאִיל שֶׁרְאִיתָם אוֹתִי קוֹדֵם לְכֵן, כָּסְבַרָת הַתּוֹסָפוֹת, וְאַכּילוּ אָבָי חַי", כְּלוֹמַר מְכִירִים אוֹתִי "הַעוֹד אָבִי חַי", שָׁהוּא יִבא וְיַבּיונִי אוֹ מצַד הָטָבַע אוֹ מִצּד הָרוּחַ הַקּוֹדָש, וְהָיָה מַיְתִין שִיאֹמְרוּ לוֹ שֶׁעַתָּ מְכִירִים אוֹתִי "הַעוֹד אָבִי חַי", שְׁהוּא יָבָא וְיַכָּיבִאָּחָיוּ לָא הָיָי הָיזָי מָשָר הָיָם שִׁרָּמָם בּעַמָּר הָיָי הַיָּין מָיָרִים אוֹתִי דָיָםייה אַנָּבּי מָיוּזין שָּבּישָּעָה. וֹזי מָם שִׁיּאַמָרוּ לוֹ שְׁעָמָה מַכּירִים אוֹתִי דָאוֹמָר לְכָם שְׁיּאַיןיהוּ הָיזי הַשִּיה, מַכּירִים אוֹתוּ לָכָם לְהָיּיָים הָיוּים בּאָיָים הָיוּין מָימִין בָין אוֹי אַנָּה מַיָּביין אָנָי שִייָם בּעוּין בָּיָיה מַיָּין אַיאַין אַיָּין אָים אוּיָין הָיוּין מָרָין מּין הָיזין מּיּין מּיןי מָבָין הַיין הַיין הַיין הָיזין מָיןייהוּין בּיין הָיוּין הָיזין מּין הַין בּין בָּין הָיין בּיןיין בָּין בָּין הָיין מּיַין שָּים בּיָרָן מִין מָרָין מּין מָּיןים אַיָּין שָּבּין מָין מָעָם הָיוּין מָנוּין מָיין מָיין מָיין בּינָיים בּייןינָעוּין בּינָין בּיעָיוּים בּיָים שִיעָין אינָין מּינִין מּייּנוּבין אָינִין בּיין מָיי בּיין הָעָין מָיןין מָין מָין מָּיןים אוּיזין הָעוּין בָיין מָין מָיין היין בָין מָין בּיין מָריין מָין מָעוּין מעַין מָיין מָעָן היין מָיישָים ב בּירָין מָּיןין מָין מָין מָּיןין מָיין מַין מָין מָעָןין נָין מּיין מָיוּין מָיין נוּייָין מּייין מָין מָין רָי בּיין

Here, Joseph already knew that his brothers did not recognize him, for they had been before him a few times and did not recognize him. He thought in his mind that even when he told them that he was Joseph they would not believe him, as with the incident of Mari bar Isak. Therefore, what did he do? He said to them without delay, "I am Joseph. Is my father still alive," as if to say that "I say to you that I am Joseph. You can recognize me since you saw me prior to this, per the view of the Tosafists.⁵ Even if you don't recognize me, I ask 'Is my father still alive,' for he will come and recognize me, either naturally or through divine inspiration." This answers question 5, why Joseph didn't begin talking with his brothers by saying pleasant things, but rather immediately asked if his father was still alive. It also answers question 2, that Joseph hadn't mentioned his father in attempt to rebuke his brothers. It also answers question 3, that Jacob's mention of his father wasn't intended as a question, as that would have been redundant, as they had already told him that Jacob still lived, but rather it was intended as a statement that, "My father would recognize me."

⁵ I.e., from the Gemara, one might have thought that Mari had never met his brother, and perhaps didn't even know that he had a brother. The Tosafists explanation is that he had known his brother long ago, but now didn't recognize him due to his changed appearance.

He was waiting for them to say to him that now that they are careful to look at him closely, they recognize him. The truth is that now they knew him right away, but they could not answer because they were dumbfounded, because of the shame they had for not recognizing him in the first place. This answers question 6, what answer Scripture thought they should have given, had they not been dumbfounded.

ַוְעַל זֶה קָאָמַר הַמִּדְרָשׁ אוֹי לָנוּ מִיּוֹם הַדִּין וְכוּ', שֶׁלֹא דֵי שֶׁיֵשׁ לָנוּ לוֹמַר אוֹי לָנוּ מִיּוֹם הַדִּין מַחַמַת הָעוֹנָשׁ, אֶלָּא אַף מַחַמַת הַבּוּשָׁה שֶׁתִּהְיֶה לָנוּ, שֶׁבָּעוֹלָם הַזֶּה הָעֲבִירוֹת אֵינָם דּוֹמוֹת בְּעֵינֵינוּ לְעֲבִירוֹת, אָמְנָם בְּיוֹם הַדִּין כְּשֶׁנַכִּיר בְּעַצְמַנוּ הָעֲבֵירוֹת שֶׁעַשִׁינוּ כַּמָה נִהְיֶה מְבוֹהָלִים עַל מה שֶׁלֹא יָדַעְנוּ לְהַכִּירָם בָּעוֹלָם הַזֶּה, דּוֹמְיָא לְמַאי דְאָמְרִינַן רְשָׁעַים נִדְמֶה לָהֶם כְּחוּט הַשַּׁעָשִׁינוּ כַּמָה נִהְיֶה מְבוֹהָלִים עַל מה שֶׁלֹא יָדַעְנוּ לְהַכִּירָם בָּעוֹלָם הַזֶּה, דוּמְיָא לְמַאי דְּאָמְרִינָן רְשָׁעִים נִדְמֶה לָהֶם כְּחוּט הַשַּׁעָדָה . . וּבוֹכִים אֵיהָ לֹא יָכוֹלְנוּ לְכָבּוֹשׁ וְכוּי.

For this reason, the Midrash said, "Woe unto us from the day of judgment. Woe unto us, from the day of the rebuke." I.e., question 1 said that our fear of G-d's rebuke for our sins was obvious based on our intellect, and asked what the Midrash added. It was not enough to say "Woe unto us from the day of judgment" because of the punishment, but also because of the shame we will have. For in this world, the transgressions that we have committed are not similar in our eyes to the transgressions that we will recognize in the World-to-Come. But on the day of judgment, when we recognize in ourselves the transgressions that we committed, a few will be dismayed that we failed to know to recognize in this world. This is similar to what was said:

For the righteous, [the evil inclination] appears to them as a high mountain, and **for the wicked it appears** to **them as** [a mere] **strand of hair**. These weep and those weep. The righteous weep and say: How were we able to overcome so high a mountain? **And** the wicked **weep and say: How were we unable to overcome this strand of hair**?

- Sukkah page 52a

הָכָא נָמֵי לְפִי שֶׁהָיָה דְּיוֹקֵנוֹ שֶׁל יוֹסֵף דּוֹמֶה לְשֶׁל אָבִיו אָמַר לָהֶם "אַנִי יוֹסֵף", וְאָם אֵין אַתָּם מַכּיִרִים אוֹתִי לְפִי שֶׁיָצָאתִי בְּלֹא חַתִימַת זָקָן "הַעוֹד אָבִי חַי" שֶׁתּוּכְלוּ לזְכּוֹר דְיוֹקַנוֹ שֶׁגַּם הוּא עוֹמֵד בַּחַתִימַת זָקָן כָּמוֹנִי, וְהָיָה לָהֶם לְאָחִיו לוֹמַר כֵּן אָנוּ זוֹכְרִים אַבָל "לֹא־יַכָלוּ" וְכוּ" "כִּי נִבְהַלוּ" וְכוּ.

Here too, since Joseph's appearance was similar to that of his father, he said to them, "I am Joseph, and if you don't recognize me because I left without the semblance of a beard, I ask "is my father still alive," that you should be able to remember his appearance, for he also stands with the semblance of a beard like me. This answers question 4, why Joseph said, "my father" instead of "our father." It was because he and his father had a very similar appearance. Again, as noted above, his brothers should have said, "Yes, we recognize [you]," but "his brothers could not answer him, so dumbfounded were they on account of him

* * *