Zera Shimshon

by Rabbi Shimshon Chaim Nachmani zt"l Published Mantua 1778*

Chapter XII: Vayechi (Gen. 47:28–50:26)

Essay 9. Jacob blesses Joseph's sons

פְּסוּק "וַיַּרָא יִשְׂרָאֵל אֶת־בְּנֵי יוֹסֵף וַיֹּאמֶר מִי־אֵלֶה", פֵּרָשׁ רַשִּׁ"י, בִּקֵשׁ לְבָרְכָם וְנָסְתַּלְּקָה שְׁכִינָה מִמֶּנּוּ, לְפִי שֶׁעָתִיד יָרְבְעָם וְנִיּאמֶר מִי־אֵלֶּה" מֵהֵיכָן יָצָאוּ אֵלָה שֶׁאֵינָם רְאוּיִים לְבְרָכָה, אָמֵר לוֹ יוֹסֵף "בָּנֵי הֵם אֲשֶׁר־נָתַן־לִי אֱלֹהִים בָּזֶה" הָרְאָה לוֹ שְׁטָר מִיראַכָּר כְּתוּבָּה וְכוּ', "וַיֹּאמֵר קָחֶם־נָא אֵלֵי וַאָבְרְכֵם." לוֹ שְׁטָר אֵירוּסִין וּשְׁטָר כְּתוּבָּה וְכוּ', "וַיֹּאמֵר קָחֶם־נָא אֵלֵי וַאָבְרְכֵם."

There are verse[s]: "Israel saw the sons of Joseph, and he said, 'who are these?' "
Rashi explained: "He wished to bless them, but the Shechinah departed from him, because it was destined that Jeroboam and Ahab would descend from Ephraim, and Jehu and his sons from Manasseh." Regarding the end of the verse, "and he said, 'who are these,' " Rashi explained, "Who are these, who are unfit for a blessing?"

Joseph said to him: "They are my sons, whom G-d has given me here." Rashi explained: "He showed him the contract of betrothal and the contract of marriage," i.e., proof that their mother was Jewish, and that therefore Ephraim and Manasseh were Jewish, "and Joseph requested mercy regarding the matter, and the Holy Spirit [again] rested upon [Jacob]." 5

"And he said, 'bring them to me, and I will bless them.' "

ֵלֵשׁ לְדַקְדֵּק מַהוּ שֶׁאָמַר לוֹ יַעֲלָב "מִי־אֵלֶה", וְכִי עֲדִיִּין לֹא הָיָה מַכִּירָם וַהְלֹא כְּבַר כְּתִיב "וְעַתָּה שְׁנֵי־בָנֶיךְ" וְכוּ "כִּרְאוּבֵן וְשִׁמְעוֹן יִהְיוּ־לִי", וְאִי תֵּימָא שֶׁשָׁאַל זָה בִּשְׁבִיל שֶׁנְסְתַּלְקָה מְמֶנוּ שְׁכִינָה בִּשְׁבִיל יָרָבְעָם וְיֵהוּא, אִם יָדַע זָה מַה מָקוֹם לְשְׁאֵלְתוֹ. גַּם בִּתְשׁוּבַת יוֹסֵף שֶׁהֶרְאָה לוֹ שְׁטָר אֵירוּסִין וּכְתוּבָּה מָה תֵּירוּץ הוּא זֶה, הַלֹּא הַשְּׁכִינָה לֹא נִסְתַּלְקָה אֶלָּא בִּשְׁבִיל יָרְבְעָם וְכוּ', וְאֵיךְ בָּתְשׁוּבָה זוֹ מְתוֹרָץ זָה שָׁאַחַר כָּךְ בִּירֵךְ אוֹתָם, וְאֵךְ הַמְּפַרְשִׁים הִרְגִּישׁוּ בְּזֶה.

We need to check why Jacob asked "who are these," for didn't he already know them, and isn't it already written, "Now, your two sons, who were born to you in the land of Egypt before I came to you in Egypt, shall be mine; Ephraim and Manasseh shall be mine, as Reuben and Simeon are mine." If you'll say that he asked this because the Shechinah departed from

^{*} English translation: Copyright © 2025 by Charles S. Stein. Additional essays at https://www.zstorah.com

¹ Gen. 48:8

² Rashi to Gen. 48:8, based on Midrash Tanchuma, Vayechi 6.

³ Ibid.

⁴ Gen. 48:9

⁵ Rashi to Gen. 48:9, based on Midrash Tanchuma, Vayechi 6.

⁶ Gen. 48:5.

him because of Jeroboam and Jehu, if he knew this reason that the Shechinah left him, what place was there for his question?

Even with the answer of Rashi that Joseph showed him the contract of betrothal and the contract of marriage, what solution is this? For isn't it so that the Shechinah departed from him only because of Jeroboam, Ahab, and Jehu and his sons?

How does this answer solve this, that he blessed them afterward? Even the commentators feel this difficulty.

וְיֵשׁ לוֹמֵר שֶׁכָּתֵב הַפָּרָשׁת דְּרָכִים (בְּדָרוּשׁ ד' דַּף י"ב) שֶׁה' הִפְּלִיא חַסְדוֹ עַל יִשְׂרָאֵל, שֻׁכְּשֶׁרוֹאָה שֻׁעֲתִידִין לְהָרָע וּלְהָטִיב, וְאֵינוֹ נִמְנָע בִּשְׁבִיל מַה שֻׁעֲתִידִין לְהָרַע, וְזָהוּ שֻׁאָמַר בִּלְעָם "לֹא־הִבִּיט אָנָן בְּיַעֲּלְב", מֵטְיב עִמָּהֶם בִּשְׁבִיל מַה שֶׁעֲתִידִין לְהָרָע דְּכְתִיב "וַיַּרְא־אָנָן וְלֹא יִתְבּוֹנָן" וּכְתִיב שְׁהַרְב"ה אֵינוֹ דָּן אֶת הָאָדָם אֶלָּא לְפִי מַעֲשָׁיו שֶׁל אוֹתָה שָׁעָה וְאַף עַל פִּי שֻׁעָתִיד לְהָרֵע דְּכְתִיב "וַיַּרְא־אָנָן וְלֹא יִתְבּוֹנָן" וּכְתִיב "בּאָשֶׁר הוּא־שָׁם", אֲבָל בַּמְּאוֹת מְסְתַּכֵּל בְּמָה שֶׁעֲתִידִין לְעֲשׁוֹת וְכֵן עֲשָׁה בְּצֵאתָם מִמִּצְרִיִם שְׁהַטִיב עְמָּהֶם בִּשְׁבִיל שֶׁהָיוּ עֲתִידִין לְקַבֵּל הַתּוֹרָה וְלֹא נִמְנָע וֹיִן לְעֲשׁוֹת, וְכֵן עֲשָׂה בְּצֵאתָם מִמִּצְרִיִם שְׁהָטִיב עִמְּהֶם בִּשְׁבִיל שֶׁהָיִין לְקְבֵּל הַתּוֹרָה וְלֹא נִמְנָע בְּשִׁרוֹ לְעֲשׁוֹת וְּכִן עֲשָׁה בְּבָּר הַמְּלְרָת יְצִיאָם מִמּצְרָיִם" וְעִיי"ש שֶׁהֶאֵרִיך. עוֹד כְּתַב הָרֵב הַנַּיל (בְּדָרוּשׁ א' דַּף א' בְּיִל שִׁיִב, לֹא מֶקְרָבְּך, שִׁל פְּסוּק "אָז חִלַּלְתָּ יְצוּעִי עֶלָה", אָמֵר לוֹ יַעֲקֹב אִינִי לֹא מֶּרְרָשׁ עַל פָּסוּק "אָז חִלַלְתָּ יְצוּעִי עֶלָה", מֵה שְׁדַּעְתוֹ לְעֲשׁוֹת בְּּך יַעֲשָׂה וְכוּל.

It can be said that the *Parashat Derachim* (in essay 4, page 12) writes that G-d bestows His kindness on Israel when He sees that they will do both good and evil, because of the good that they will do, and he doesn't prevent this because of the evil that they will do.⁷

This is the meaning of what Balaam said: "He has not observed iniquity in Jacob," that the Holy One, Blessed be He, judges man only according to his actions of the hour. Even though he is destined to do evil, as it is written, "When He sees iniquity, does He not discern it?" Also, regarding Ishmael, who would grow to be evil, it is written, "G-d has heeded the cry of the boy where he is," which Rashi explained that he is judged for his actions at the moment and not according to what he might do in the future. But regarding the commandments, He looks at what one is destined to do and is good to them in the present time.

This is why the verse beginning "He has not observed iniquity in Jacob" concludes with, "The L-rd, their G-d, is with him," and He does good for them because of what they are destined to do. Thus, He acted in bringing them out from Egypt and doing good with them because they were destined to receive the Torah, and He wasn't prevented from this because they were destined to sin with the Golden Calf. See more there, at length.

The rabbi mentioned above, i.e., the author of *Perashat Derachim*, also wrote (in essay 1, page 1d) in explaining the Midrash Gen. Rabbah 98:4 on the verse, "You brought disgrace—my couch he mounted." According to the Midrash, Jacob said, I am not distancing you and

⁷ Rabbi Yehudah Rosanes (1657–1727), *Parashat Derachim*, essay 4 (Venice 1743).

⁸ Num. 23:21.

⁹ Job 11:11.

¹⁰ Gen. 21:17.

¹¹ Rashi on Gen. 21:17.

¹² Gen. 49:4.

I am not bringing you near. Instead, I am suspending judgment until Moses comes, for it is written about him, "Moses ascended to G-d." What he will know to do to you, he will do. *Perashat Derachim* notes that with the receipt of the Torah at Sinai, the Israelites left the halachic category of the descendants of Noah and were treated halachically as Jews. However, there is a question whether the patriarchs themselves should be considered as Jews, or whether they were still part of the descendants of Noah. This will be discussed below, with its significance.

וּפֵירֵשׁ הָרַב הַנַּ"ל דְּפִילַגְשִׁים הֵם בְּלֹא כְּתוּבָה וְקִידּוּשִׁין, אָם כֵּן בִּלְהָה שֶׁהָיְתָה פִּילֶגֶשׁ יַצְקֹב לֹא חֲשִׁיבָה אֵשֶׁת יַצְקֹב מֵאַחֵּר שָׁלֹא קְדְשָׁה, וּמִשְׁנָה שְׁנִינוּ נוֹשֵׂא אָדָם אֲנוּסִת אָבִיו וּמְפוּתַת אָבִיו, וְהוּא הַדִּין לְפִילֶגֶשׁ אָבִיו. וְכָל זָה הוּא בִּישְׂרָאֵל שֶׁלֹא קְדְשָׁה, וּמְשְׁנָה שְׁלִימָה שָׁנִינוּ נוֹשֵׂא אָדָם אֲנוּסִת אָבִיו וּמְשׁוּם דְּלָתְא בָּילְנָא מִילְתָא, פְּשִׁיטָא שֶׁחַיָּיב עַל פִּילֶגֶשׁ אָבִיו וּמְשׁוּם אֵשֶׁת אָבִיו וּמְשׁוּם אֲשֶׁת אָבִיו וּמְשׁוּם אֲשֶׁת אָבִיו וּמְשׁוּם אֲשֶׁת אִישׁ.

Also, the rabbi mentioned above explained that concubines have neither contract of marriage nor contract of engagement. If so, Bilhah, who was a concubine of Jacob, was not considered a wife of Jacob, since she was not married. A complete Mishnah taught us that a man may marry a woman raped by his father or seduced by his father, ¹⁴ and this is the law for a concubine of one's father. This is specifically the law for Israel, but for the descendants of Noah, who do not have a contract of marriage and who marry only through intercourse, ¹⁵ it is obvious that he would be liable for relations with the concubine of his father, both because she's the wife of his father and because she's the wife of a married man.

וְיַעֲקֹב הָיָה מִסְתַּפֵּק אָם יָצָאוּ הָאָבוֹת מִכְּלָל בְּנֵי נֹחַ וְנֵשׁ לָהֶם דִּין יִשְׂרָאֵל גָּמוּר אוֹ לֹא יָצָאוּ, דְּאָם יָצְאוּ אֵין לֹרְאוּבֵן עַוֹן אֲשֶׁר הַטְא, דְּלֹא חֲשִׁיבָא בַּלְהָה אֵשֶׁת יַעֲלָב, וְאָם לֹא יָצָאוּ מִכְּלָל בְּנֵי נֹחַ יֵשׁ עַל רְאוּבֵן חַטְא גָּדוֹל, וּמִשׁוּם הָכִי אָמַר לֶיהּ אֵינִי מֶרְחַקְּךּ וְאֵינִי מְקָרֶבְךּ וְכוּי, וְעִיי"ש שֶׁהָאֶרִידָּ.

Jacob was unsure whether the patriarchs were considered outside the category of the descendants of Noah and thus had the full status of Jews, or whether they remained within that category. For if they were considered outside, Reuben would not have committed a sin for what he did, since Bilhah was not considered the wife of Jacob in this case.

But if they had not exited the category of the descendants of Noah, then Reuben committed a great sin, for non-Jews would legally recognize a state of marriage had existed by virtue of there having been intercourse between Jacob and Bilhah. That is why [Jacob] said to [Reuben]: I am not distancing you and I am not bringing you near, etc.

See there in Perashat Derachim, and he continues at length.

¹³ Ex. 19:3.

¹⁴ Yevamot 97a.

¹⁵ Sanhedrin 57b.

ּוּבְזֶה נָבֹאׁ לְבֵיאוּר דָּבְרֵי רַשִּׁ"י הַנּ"ל, שֶׁמָה שֶׁהִקב"ה אֵינוֹ רוֹאֶה בָּרַע שֻׁעְתִידִין לְעֲשׁוֹת אֶלָּא דַּוְקָא בַּטוֹב שֻׁעַתִידִין לַעֲשׁוֹת. זָהוּ דַּוְקָא בְּטוֹב שֶׁעַתִידִין לַעֲשׁוֹת. זָהוּ דַּוְקָא בְּטוֹב שֶׁצָּתִי אָבָל לֹא בְּמִי שֻׁאֵינוֹ יִשְׂרָאֵל דְּהָיְינוּ בֵּן נַהַ. וְיָדוּע שֻׁיָרְבְעָם מִתְּחָלָה הָיָה חָכָם וְצַדִּיק גָּדוֹל כִּדְאָמְרִי וְפָבְּי הַאָּצְהִי שְׁכָּל לֹא בְּמִי חַבְּמִים דּוֹמִין לְפְנֵיהֶם כְּעִשְׁבֵי הַשְּׁדָה וְאִיכָּא דְּאָמְרִי שֶׁכָּל טַעֲמֵי הַתּוֹרָה מְגוּלִין הַבְּעַל וְאַחַר כָּדְ חַטְא, וּלְפִי דַּעַת יַעְלָב שֶׁלֹא הָיָה יוֹדַע בְּבִירוּר לָהָם בַּשְּׂדָה, וְאָחַר כָּדְ חַטְא. וְכַן יֵהוּא מִתְּחָלָה הָרֵג כָּל עוֹבְדֵי הַבַּעַל וְאַחַר כָּדְ חַטְא, וּלְפִי דַּעַת יַעְלְב שֶׁלֹא הָיָה יוֹדֵע בְּבִירוּר לָבְרָכָה לְפִי שֻׁעַתִידִין יָרְבְעָם וְיֵהוּא לְצֵאת אֶלָּא הָיָה מְסְתַּפֵּק אִם יָצְאוּ מִכְּלֶל בְּנֵי נֹחַ אוֹ לָאו, אִם כֵּן מִן הַסְּפֵק אֵינָם רְאוּיִים לְבְרָכָה לְפִי שֶׁעֲתִידִין יָרְבְעָם וְיֵהוּא לְצֵאת מֵהָם שֵׁסוֹפָם לְחֵטוֹא.

In this way, we will come to an interpretation of the words of Rashi above, that the Holy One, Blessed be He, does not see the evil that one is destined to do, but specifically only the good that one is destined to do. This is specifically true for Israel, but not for one who is not Israel, i.e., a descendant of Noach.

It is known that Jeroboam was initially a Torah scholar and a great righteous man, as it is said in the 11th chapter of tractate Sanhedrin entitled "All Israel has a portion in the Worldto-Come," discussing the verse, "During that time, Jeroboam went out of Jerusalem and the prophet Ahijah of Shiloh met him on the way; he had put on a new robe; and the two were alone in the field." The Gemara relates:

Rav Yehuda says that Rav says: All Torah scholars seemed, to them, like grass of the field [as they were wiser than all of them]. And there are those who say: That all the rationales of the Torah were revealed to them like a field that is open for all to see.

- Sanhedrin 102a

But afterward he sinned. Similarly Jehu, initially he killed all the worshippers of Ba'al, ¹⁷ but afterward he sinned. ¹⁸ According to the thinking of Jacob, that he didn't know clearly, but rather had a doubt as to whether they had left the category of descendants of Noah or not, if so, because of this doubt, [Ephraim and Manasseh] were not worthy of a blessing, because Jeroboam and Yehu were destined to descend from them, and their end was to sin.

אָכָל אִי אָמְרִינַן דְּוַדָּאי יָצְאוּ מִכְּלֶל בְּנֵי נֹחַ אִם כֵּן הֵם יִשְׂרָאֵלִים גְּמוּרִים וּבְיִשְׂרָאֵל כְּתִיב "וַיַּרְא־אָנֶן וְלֹא יִתְבּוֹנָן", וּמְנַשֶׁה וְאָפְרִים רְאוּיִים הֵם לְבָרָכָה לְפִי שֶׁהֵם צַדִּיקִים וְלֹא אִיכְפַּת אִם עֲתִידִים לָצֵאת מֵהֶם יָרָבְעָם וְוַהוּא. וּמִכָּל שֶׁבֵן שֻׁגַּם הָם מְתִּידִים לָצֵאת מֵהֶם יָרְאוּיִים לְבָרֶכָה. וְלָכֵן יוֹסֵף הֶרְאָה לוֹ לְאָבִיו שְׁטָר אֵירוּסִין [וְ]כְתוּבָּה, שֻׁזָּה אֵינוֹ נוֹהֵג אָלָּא בְּיִשְׂרָאֵל מְבְּלָתְם הָיוּ צַדִּיקִים וּרְאוּיִים לְבָרֶכָה. וְלָכֵן יוֹסֵף הֶרְאָה לוֹ לְאָבִיו שְׁטָר אֵירוּסִין [וְ]כְתוּבְּעַל בְּנֵי נֹח וְאִם כֵּן רְאוּיִים הֵם לְבְרָכָה, וְזָהוּ, שֻׁהָרִי בְּבֵּן נֹחַ הַכֹּל הָּלוּי בָּבְעִילִת בְּעַל, בְּלִוֹ דְעָת זְּלִין הַשְּׁכִינָה וְהָתְחִיל לְבָרְכָם. "אֲשֶׁר־נְתַן־לי אֱלֹהִים בָּזָה" וְכוּי, וּלְפִי דַּעַת זֶה שֶׁרְתָה עֶּלִיו הַשְּׁכִינָה וְהַתְחִיל לְבָרְכָם.

But if you say that they certainly exited from the category of the offspring of Noah, if so, they were completely Jews, and for Israel it is written, "When He sees iniquity, does He not discern it?" Manasseh and Ephraim were worthy of a blessing, because they were righteous, and it did not matter if Jeroboam and Jehu were destined to descend from them.

¹⁷ II Kings 10:20–25.

¹⁶ I Kings 11:30.

¹⁸ II Kings 10:29.

Certainly, even [Jeroboam and Jehu] were originally righteous and worthy of a blessing. Therefore, Joseph showed his father the contract of betrothal and marriage, which is a custom only among Israel. As for the descendants of Noah, everything depends on marital relations. This is as if to say, I hold in accordance with the opinion that they certainly left the category of the descendants of Noah, and if so, they are worthy of a blessing, and this is the meaning of, "whom G-d has given me here." According to this thinking, the Shechinah rested upon him, and he began to bless them.

* * *