Zera Shimshon

by Rabbi Shimshon Chaim Nachmani zt"l Published Mantua 1778*

Chapter XXI: Ki Tisa (Ex. 30:11–34:35)

Essay 12. The shame of the Golden Calf

על ד שָׁם עַל פָּסוּק הַנָּאֱמָר לְעֵיל, "לְדָּ ה' הַצְּדָקָה" בְּמַן, "וְלָנוּ בֹּשֶׁת הַפָּנִים" בְּמַעֲשֶׂה הָעֵגֶל עכ"ל. כַּמָּה טָרְחוּ הַמְּפָרְשִׁים בְּלִים עָלְ הָפָּסוּק עָצְמוֹ קֵשֶׁה דְּמַאי קָא מִשְׁמַע לַן "וְלָנוּ בֹּשֶׁת הַפָּנִים". פְּשִׁיטָא דְּרְשֶׁמְקַבְּלִים צְדָקָה יִהְיָה לָהֶם בּוֹשֶׁת בְּנִים הָבָּא מַחְמַת דְּבָר אַחַר. פָּנִים כְּמוֹ הָעָנִי שַׁיֵשׁ לוֹ בּוֹשֶׁת פָּנִים לְקַבֵּל צְדָקָה, אֶלָא וַדָּאי דְמִיִירִי קְרָא בְּבוֹשֶׁת פְּנִים הַבָּא מַחְמַת דְּבָר אַחַר.

Also there on the verse mentioned above, "With You, O Lord, is the righteousness" — this refers to the manna, "and the shame is upon us" — this refers to the Golden Calf. How much trouble the commentators have had on this matter, and the verse itself is difficult, for what is it teaching us by saying "and the shame is upon us"? It is obvious that when they receive charity that they will have shame, just as the poor person will have shame to receive charity. Rather, certainly the verse is speaking of the shame that comes from another matter.

ְוָיָדוּעַ שֶׁיִּשְׂרָאֵל גּוּפָן קָנוּי לְהקב"ה כַּעֲבָדִים כְּנַעֲנִיִּים, וּמִשׁוּם הָכִי צָנָה לָנוּ "כִּי־לִי בְנֵי־יִשְׂרָאֵל עֲבָדִים", וְקִיִּמָא לַן דְּיָכוֹל הָרב לוֹמֵר לָשֶׁבָּד "עֲשֹׁה עָמִי וְאֵינִי זָנָדְּ" אֶלָּא יִתְפַּרְנַס מִן הַצְּדָקָה. וְכֵן פָּסָק הָרַמְבַּ"ם (בְּפֶּרֶק ט' מַהּלְכוֹת עֲבָדִים), וְאִם כֵּן הָבִי לְּאָבָי שְׁיִב מִן הַדִּין לָזוּן אֶת יִשְׂרָאֵל, שֶׁהָרֵי הָיוּ עֲבָדִים לוֹ, וּכְשֶׁזַן אוֹתָם נִקְרֵאת צְדָקָה, כְּמוֹ שֶׁיִּהְיֶה נֶחְשֵׁב לְצְדָקָה לֵאַדוֹן שֵׁזַן אָת עַבְדּוֹ.

It is known that Israel itself is acquired by the Holy One, Blessed be He, as Canaanite slaves, and because of this, He commanded us, "For it is to Me that the Israelites are servants," and we hold that "a master can say to [his] slave: Work for me, but I will not sustain you," rather you will be sustained from charity. For a Jewish master, this rule "applies [only to] a Canaanite slave, about whom it is not written: 'With you.' But [with regard to] a Hebrew slave about whom it is written: '[It is good for him] with you' (Deut. 15:16), [this does] not [apply, and the master must sustain him just as he sustains the members of his own household.]" The Rambam also ruled thus (Mishneh Torah, Laws of Slaves, chapter 9, halacha 7). If so, the

^{*} English translation: Copyright © 2024 by Charles S. Stein. Additional essays are at https://www.zstorah.com

¹ Dan. 9:7.

² Ibid.

³ Ex. Rabbah 41:1.

⁴ Lev. 25:55.

⁵ Ketubot 43a, 58b; Bava Kamma 87b; Bava Metzia 93a; Gittin 12a, 12b.

⁶ Ketubot 43a, 58b; Bava Kamma 87b.

⁷ Mishneh Torah, Laws of Slaves, chapter 9, halacha 7: "A master may tell his Canaanite slave: 'Work for me, but I will not provide you with sustenance,' and the slave must instead, go and beg from door to door and derive his sustenance from charity. For the Jews are obligated to support the slaves that live among them."

Holy One, Blessed be He, was not obligated according to the law to sustain Israel, as they were considered to be his slaves. I.e., if we work for a flesh-and-blood Jewish master, we are legally considered as Hebrew slaves. But in the context of being the property of G-d, we are considered as Canaanite slaves. Then, when He sustained them, this is called "charity," as it would be considered charity for a master who sustained his Canaanite slaves.

אָמְנָם יֵשׁ פּוֹסְקִים שֶׁסּוֹבְרִים דְּבִשְׁנֵי בַצּוֹרֶת אֵין הָרֵב יָכוֹל לוֹמֵר לָעֶבֶד ״עֲשֹׁה עִמִּי וְאֵינִי זָנָדְ״, כִּסְבָרַת רַבָּן שִׁמְעוֹן בֶּן גַּמְלִיאֵל בְּצִּיסִין (דַּף י"ב) דְּאָמַר יָכוֹל הָעֶבֶד לוֹמֵר לְרַבּוֹ בִּשְׁנֵי בַצּוֹרֶת אוֹ פַּרְנְסֵנִי אוֹ הוֹצִיאֵנִי לְחֵירוּת, וְכֵן פָּסַק בְּהָגָּהָה יוֹרֶה דֵּעָה (סִימֵן רמ"ז סִעִיף כ').

Indeed, there are decisors who are of the opinion that in drought years, a master is not able to say to his slave, "work for me, but I will not sustain you," according to the opinion of Rabban Shimon ben Gamliel in tractate Gittin (page 12a), who said, "A slave can say to his master in drought years: 'Either sustain me or send me out to freedom,' " and so it is ruled in the gloss of Moses Isserles to the Shulchan Aruch, Yoreh De'ah (siman 246, se'if 20).

ְּוֶהוּ פְּשָׁט הַמְּדְרָשׁ "לְּדְּ ה' הַצְּדָקָה" בְּמַן, שֶׁהֲרֵי אֲנַחְנוּ לְדְּ לְעְבָדִים וְלֹאׁ הָיִיתָ חַיָּיב מָן הַדִּין לְזוּן אוֹתָנוּ, וְהוֹרַדְתָּ לָנוּ הַמַן בְּתוֹרַת צְדָקָה. וְאָם תֹּאֹמֵר שֶׁהָיִתְ חַיָּיב מָן הַדִּין לְזוּן אוֹתָנוּ וְאֵינוֹ נִקְרָא צְדָקָה, לְפִי שֶׁהָיוּ בְּמִדְכָּר מֶקוֹם תֹהוּ כִּי אֵין לֶחָם וְאֵין מִים נָהָנִי דּוּמְיָא דְּבִשְׁנֵי בַצּוֹרֶת, וְכִּסְבָרַת רַבָּן שִׁמְעוֹן בֶּן גַּמְלִיאֵל דְּיָכוֹל הָעֶבֶד לוֹמֵר לְרַבּוֹ אוֹ פַּרְנְסֵנִי אוֹ הוֹצִיאַנִי לְחֵירוּת, עִם כַּל זָה לָנוּ בּוֹשֶׁת הַפָּנִים, שֵׁאַחֵר שָׁהוֹרָדְתַּ לְנוּ אֵת הַמַּן וּפַרְנַסְתֵנוּ אָנוּ מַרַדְנוּ בְּדְּ וּבְקּשְׁנוּ לָצֵאת לְחֵירוּת בְּמַעֲשֵׂה הָעֵגֶל.

This is the plain meaning of the Midrash: "With You, O Lord, is the righteousness"—this refers to the manna. For we are as slaves to you, and you are not obliged according to the law to sustain us, and you brought down the manna to us according to the law of righteousness. Maybe You will say that You were obliged according to the law to sustain us and that it is not called charity, because they were in the wilderness, a place that is a wasteland with no food and no water. This was similar to the drought years, and the law is according to the opinion of Rabban Shimon ben Gamliel, who said, "A slave can say to his master: 'Either sustain me or send me out to freedom.' "Nevertheless, we should be ashamed, that after You brought down the manna for us, we rebelled against You and asked to go to freedom in the incident of the Golden Calf.

ּוְעוֹד מִמֶּה נַפְשֶׁךּ לָנוּ בּוֹשֶׁת הַפָּנִים, אָם קבּלְנוּ הַמֵּן בְּתוֹרַת צְדָקָה לָנוּ הַבּוֹשֶׁת, וְאַף אָם נָאֱמֵר שֶׁאֵינוֹ צְדָקָה, דְּהָלָכָה כְּרַבֶּן שָׁמְעוֹן בֵּן גַּמְלִיאֵל וָהַמְּדָבֵּר נִקְרָא כִּשְׁנֵי בַצּוֹרֵת, מָכָל מַקוֹם יֵשׁ לָנוּ יוֹתֵר בּוֹשֶׁת הַפָּנִים בַּמַעֲשֵׂה הָעֵגֶל.

Also, whichever way you look at it, we are ashamed. If we received the manna according to the law of charity, we are ashamed. Even if it is said that it was not charity, according to the law of Rabban Shimon ben Gamliel, and with the consideration that the wilderness is considered like drought years, nevertheless, we have a greater shame through the incident of the Golden Calf.

* * *