Zera Shimshon

by Rabbi Shimshon Chaim Nachmani zt"l Published Mantua 1778*

Chapter XXIII: Pekudei (Ex. 38:21-40:38)

Essay 7. Sanctification of four priests

פְּסוּק "וְרָחַצוּ מִמֶּנוּ מֹשֶׁה וְאַהָּרֹן וּבָנָיו" וְכוּ', בִּגְמָרָא (דְּזֶבַחִים דַּף י"ט) לְפִי גִּרְסַת רַשִׁ"י לֹמְדִין מִמֶּנוּ שֶׁכֹּל כִּיוֹר שֶׁאֵין בּוֹ לְקַדֵּשׁ אַרְבָּעָה כֹּהָנִים מִמֶּנוּ אֵין מְקַדְּשִׁין בּוֹ, שֶׁפֵּירֵשׁ שָׁם מֹשֶׁה וְאַהָּרֹן תְּרֵין, וּבָנָיו תְּרֵין דְּמִיעוּט רַבִּים שְׁנַיִם, דְּאִם לֹא כֵּן הָיָה לוֹ לוֹמַר וְכֹל בָּנָיו.

There is a verse in this parsha: "He placed the laver between the Tent of Meeting and the altar, and put water in it for washing. From it, Moses and Aaron and his sons would wash their hands and feet" (Ex. 40:30–31). In the Gemara (of Zevachim page 19b), according to the reading of Rashi, we learn from it: "As Rabbi Yosei, son of Rabbi Chanina, says: [Regarding] any laver that does not contain enough [water] for four priests to sanctify [their hands and feet] from it, they may not sanctify [their hands and feet] with it." Rashi's comment is that the laver needs to hold enough water for the sanctification of four priests. As [Rashi] explained there, Moses and Aaron were two, and [Aaron's surviving] sons [after the deaths of Nadav and Abihu] were two, for the minimum of a plurality is two, for if not so, i.e., if there had been more than two, it would have said "and all his sons."

ְּוָהְקְשָׁה בְּסַפֶּר צֹאֹן קְדֹשִׁים דְּמִקְרָא זֶה כָּתוּב בַּעֲשִׁיֵּית הַכִּיוֹר בְּפָרָשַׁת פִּקוּדֵי וְלָמָה לֹא רָמֵז זֶה הַשֵּׁם יִתְבָּרְךְ לְמֹשֶׁה בַּצִּוּוִי הַכִּיוֹר בְּפָרָשַׁת כִּיּאַת הַמְּקְדָשׁ) לְמֵד זֶה הַדִּין מֵהַפָּסוּק שֶׁל כִּי תִּשָּׂא, "וְרָחֲצוּ אַהַרֹן הַכְּיִּרׁת בִּיאַת הַמְּקְדָשׁ) לְמֵד זֶה הַדִּין מֵהַפָּסוּק שֶׁל כִּי תִּשָׂא, "וְרָחֲצוּ אַהַרֹן וּבְנִיו מִמֶּנוּ", וּפִינְחָס הָיָה עִמָּהֶם הֲרֵי אַרְבָּעָה. וְהַכֶּסֶף מְשׁנָּה דָּחָה גְּרְסָא זוֹ וּמָסִיק כְּגְּרְסִת רַשִּׁ"י, וְגַם הָרֵב הַנַּ"ל הִקְשָׁה בְּשֵׁם וּבְּנִיו מְמָבִּין מִּבְּיָה וְשִׁיּהְיה, כִּינְחָס עִמָּהֶם וְהִנִּיחוּ בְּצָרִיךְ הַבְּרְכַּת הַנָּבְיו שֻׁיִּהְיוֹ אַרְבָּעָה וְשִׁיּהְיָה כִּינְחָס עִמָּהֶם וְהִנִּיחוּ בְּצָרִיךְ מוּבְרַכֵּת הַנָּבְיו שֵׁיִּהְיוֹ עֵל פֵּירוּשׁ זֶה שֶׁל הָרַמְבַּ"ם דְּאֵיךְ מִצִּינו לְמִילֵף מוּבְנֵיו שֻׁיִּהְיוֹ אַרְבָּעָה וְשִׁיּהְיָה כִּינְחָס עִמֶּהֶם וְהִנִּיחוּ בְּצִירוּ מִמְּבִּין הִינִיש.

The sefer Tzon Kedoshim¹ questions: This verse for the making of the laver is written in parshat Pikudei; why didn't G-d, may He be Blessed, hint to Moses the capacity requirement in His earlier command of [making] the laver in parshat Ki Tisa, "Make a laver of copper and a stand of copper for it, for washing; and place it between the Tent of Meeting and the altar. Put water in it, and let <u>Aaron and his sons</u> wash their hands and feet [in water drawn] from it." (Ex. 30:18–19).

1

^{*} English translation: Copyright © 2022 by Charles S. Stein. Additional essays available at https://zstorah.com

¹ Rabbi Avraham Chaim Shoren, *Tzon Kedoshim* (Wandsbek [Hamburg] 1729).

The Rambam (in the Mishneh Torah, chapter 5 of the laws of Entering the Sanctuary, halacha 13), did, in fact, learn this law from the earlier verse of Ki Tisa, "and from it, let Aaron and his sons wash their hands and feet" (Ex. 30:19). The Rambam states there: "How much water must there be in the basin? At least enough for four priests to sanctify [their hands and feet] from it, as it says, 'Aaron and his sons.' Together with him, there were Elazar, Itamar, and Pinchas was with them, this was a total of four." I.e., whereas Rashi's count of four includes Moses, the Rambam's count of four instead include Pinchas.

The Kessef Mishneh² rejected this reading of the Rambam, and inferred according to the reading of Rashi.

Also, the rabbi mentioned above, the Tzon Kedoshim, questioned, in the name of the Birkat haZevach,³ the Rambam's explanation and how one can learn his understanding of a total of four, and that Pinchas will be among them, and they put this aside as requiring examination.

ְעוֹד יֵשׁ לְהַקְשׁוֹת עַל פֵּירוּשׁוֹ שֶׁל הָרַמְבַּ"ם, דְּאֵיךּ הָיָה פִּינְחָס עִמָּהֶם וְהַלֹּא לֹא נִתְכַּהֵן פִּינְחָס עַד שֶׁהְרָגוֹ לְזִמְרִי, וּכְשֶׁהָרֵג אֶת זִמְרִי כְּבָר מֵת אַהָרֹן וְנִמְצָא שֶׁלְעוֹלָם לֹא קִדְּשׁוּ אַרְבָּעָה בְּבַת אַחַת, אֶלָּא אַהָרֹן וּשְׁנֵי בָנָיו, אוֹ שְׁלוֹשָׁה בָּנָיו לְבַדָּם, דְּהַיִינוּ אֵלְעַזָר וְאִיתַמֵּר וּפִינָחָס, אוֹ אַהָרֹן וָאַרְבַּעָה בָּנַיו.

Also, one should question the explanation of the Rambam, that how was Pinchas with them, for didn't he become a priest only after he had killed Zimri, and when he killed Zimri, Aaron had already died, and so we find that they never consecrated four priests at one time. Rather, if one relies upon the verse in Ki Tisa, reading "Aaron and his sons," then either it was three at one time, that is, Aaron and his two surviving sons, Elazar and Itamar; or the three "sons" alone, which were Elazar, Itamar, and Pinchas, as Pinchas was a son of Elazar and thus a grandson of Aaron; or else it was five at one time: Aaron and his four sons, i.e., Nadav, Abihu, Elazar, and Itamar.

וְלַתֵּירוּץ קוּשְׁיָא זוֹ יֵשׁ לוֹמֵר דְּבָפֶּרֶק י"ב דְּזָבַחִים (דַּף ק"א) אִיתָא רַבִּי יְהוּדָה וְר' שָׁמְעוֹן אוֹמְרִים מִפְּנֵי טוּמְאָה נִשְׂרְפָה שֶׁאִם אַתָּה אוֹמֵר מִפְּנֵי אֲנִינוּת נִשְׂרְפָה וַהָּלֹא פִּינְחָס הָיָה עִפֶּהֶם שֶׁלֹּא הָיָה אוֹנֵן. וְרַבִּי נְחָמְיָה סְבִירָא לֵיה שָׁלֹּא נִתְכַּהֵן פִּינְחָס עַד שֶׁהָרָגוֹ לִזְמָרִי. וַאָפִשַׁר שֲׁהַרָמִבַּ"ם סְבִירָא לֵיה כָּדֵיעַה זוֹ שֵׁפִּינָחָס הַיֵּה כֹּהֶן מְתִּחְלָּה.

As a solution to this question, it can be said that in the 12th chapter of tractate Zevachim (page 101a), Rabbi Yehuda and Rabbi Shimon say the sin offering was burned due to ritual impurity. For if you say that it was burned, rather than being eaten, because of mourning on the part of Aaron, Elazar, and Itamar following the deaths of Nadav and Abihu,

2

² Rabbi Joseph Karo (1488–1575), author of the Beit Joseph and Shulchan Aruch. The *Kessef Mishneh* (Venice, 1574–75) is a commentary on the Rambam's *Mishneh Torah*.

³ Rabbi Aharon Shmuel Kaidenover (1614–76), Polish-Lithuanian rabbi, *Birkat HaZevach* (Amsterdam 1669).

⁴ Num. 25:6–8. Zevachim 101b discusses that Pinchas wasn't considered a priest until after this incident, and Rashi on Num. 25:13 also notes this.

⁵ Num. 20:28.

wasn't Pinchas with them? I.e., he could have eaten the sin offering, as he was not a mourner, as he was neither a father or brother of Nadav and Abihu, but rather their nephew, and thus he was not obliged to ritual mourning. I.e., they apparently feel that Pinchas was a priest even before he killed Zimri. But Rabbi Nechemya holds that Pinchas did not become a priest until he killed Zimri. It is possible that the Rambam holds according to this thinking of Rabbi Yehuda and Rabbi Shimon: that Pinchas was a priest initially, even before he killed Zimri.

ְּעִוֹד אִיתָא שָׁם פֶּרֶק י"ד (דַּף קט"ו) "וְנֹעַדְתִּי שָׁמָּה לְבְנֵי יִשְׂרָאֵל וְנִקְדָּשׁ בִּכְבֹדִי" אַל תִּקְרִי "בִּכְבוֹדִי" אֶלָּא "בִּמְכוּבָּדִי", דָּבָר זָה אָמַר הקב"ה לְמֹשֶׁה מִתְּחַלָּה וְלֹא יָדַעוֹ עַד שֶׁמֵתוּ בָּנָיו שֶׁל אַהְרֹן וְכוּ' וְעִיי"ש. דִּשְׁמֵע מִינָה שֻׁמְּחַלָּה וְלֹא יָדַעוֹ עַד שֶׁמֵתוּ בָּנָיו שֶׁל אַהְרֹן וְכוּ' וְעִיי"ש. דִּשְׁמֵע מִינָה שֶׁמְחַלָּה וְלֹא יָדַעוֹ עַדְ שֶׁמֵתוּ דְּכְתִיב "וְאֶל־אֲצִילֵי בְּנֵי יִשְׂרָאֵל" וְכוּ'. וְאִם כֵּן לְדַעַת הָרַמְבִּ"ם יֵשׁ לוֹמֵר שֶׁיּבְּנִים הָבִי הָם לְּבִים שָׁיִּרְחָצוּ עִם אַהְרֹן, וְיֵשׁ בְּכְלָל זֶה גַּם כֵן פִּינְחָס, שֶׁבְּנֵי בָּנִים הָרִי הָם כְּבָנִים שָּיִרְחָצוּ עִם אַהְרֹן, וְיֵשׁ בְּכְלָל זֶה גַּם כֵן פִּינְחָס, שֶׁבְּנֵי בָּנִים הָרִי הָם כְּבָים שִׁיִּרְחָצוּ עִם אַהְרֹן, וְיֵשׁ בְּכְלָל זֶה גַּם כֵן פִּינְחָס, שֶׁבְּנֵי בָּנִים הָרִי הָם כְּבָר וֹשְׁמָר שֶׁיּבְּיוֹ" פוֹלֵל גַּם נָדְב וַאֲבִיהוּא שֶׁהָּרֵי הַפָּתוּב אוֹמֵר "וְהָיְתָה לָהֶם חָקִ־עוֹלְם לוֹ וּלְזַרְעוֹ". וְאִי אֶפְשָׁר שְׁהָבִי וְדַבֵּר זָה עַל נָדָב וַאֲבִיהוּא שֶׁבְּבֶר גָּלִיהֶם מִיתָה בְּשָׁאָמֵר לְמֹשֶׁר לְמִילְ שָׁל פָּרָשָׁה זוֹ "וְרָחֲצוּ מִמְנוּ מֹשֶׁה וְאָהְרֹן שְׁבָּר וֹמָב וַאַיְהִיה בְּבִים אַנְּבְים בְּבְּבָּת שְׁבָּבְר בָּבָּב וְאָבִיהוּא עָּבְּיָם בְּעִיהָ מִּנְהָ בְּעָּה בְּבְיוֹת בַּבְּלִישׁ בְּכְבֹיים אַנְבְעָה בְּבָּים בְּנִים לְאָחֵר שֶׁמֵתוּ בְּבָּב וַאֲבִיהוּא נִוֹדֵע לְנוּ לְמִפְרֵע שְׁבָּם בְּצִיוּוּי הַכִּיוֹת בְּבָּיתָה בְּיִבּת וֹב לְצַחֵר שָׁמֵתוּ בַּבְרוֹת הָבְּיב וַאֲבִיהוּא בִּלְנִים לְנִשְּי לְבִּי בְּצִיוֹיף שִׁבְּים בְּבִינִים בְּצִיוּיוֹי בְּלְישָה מִישְׁ לְבִי בְּעִים לְבִיים בְּבִים בְּצִייּנִים בְּבְיבִים שְּבְּבִים בְּבִינִים בְּעִים בְּבִים בְּצִייּנִים בְּיבְיב וֹשְבְּב וַבְאבייהוּא בִּיל בְּבִים בְּבְיבְים בְּבִים בְּבָּבְים בְּבִיים בְּבִים בְּבְּבוֹי בְּיִים בְּבְּבְים בְּבְּבְיבְים בְּבִים בְּבִים בְּבִים בְּבִים בְּבְּבְים בְּבִיב וְבָּבְים בְּבִים בְּבִים בְּעִיבְים בְּבִים בְּבִּים בְּבִים בְּבִּים בְּבִים בְּבִים בְּיִיבְים בְּיִים בְּבְים בְּבְים בְּבִים בְּבְּבְים בְּבִים בְּבְּבְים בְּבְים בְּב

Also, as far as not counting Nadav and Abihu, we find there in the 14th chapter of tractate Zevachim (page 115b): "'and there I will meet with the Israelites, and it shall be sanctified by My glory (Ex. 29:43), don't read it as 'by My glory,' but rather 'by My honored ones.' This is what the Holy One, Blessed be He, said to Moses initially, but [the meaning] wasn't known [to Moses or others] until the sons of Aaron died, etc. Once the sons of Aaron died, [Moses] said to him: Aaron, my brother, your sons died only to sanctify the Name of the Holy One, Blessed be He." See there in Zevachim.

We hear from this that it was initially decreed upon them, as it is written in the midrash, Lev. Rabbah 20:10, that from Sinai, they received their verdict of death, as it is written, "Against the leaders of the Israelites, He did not raise a hand" (Ex. 24:11).

If so, according to the Rambam, it can be said that Scripture said "and his sons" only, with the meaning all the sons that washed with Aaron, and in this category is also Pinchas, for the sons of sons, i.e., grandsons, are also like sons. For there's no thinking to say that "and his sons" includes Nadav and Abihu, for Scripture says, "they shall wash their hands and feet, that they may not die; it shall be an eternal law for them—for him and his offspring—through the generations" (Ex. 30:21). It's impossible that Scripture would say this about Nadav and Abihu, for death was already decreed upon them when [G-d] said to Moses, "and it shall be sanctified by My glory."

It is indeed so that initially, we had no clear lesson from this verse that we would need to simultaneously sanctify four priests, other than from the verse in this parsha, "From it, Moses and Aaron and his sons would wash their hands and feet" (Ex. 40:31). But after Nadav and Avihu died, it became known to us in retrospect that even in the command of making the laver, this was the intention of Scripture.

ּוּבֵין דְּבְרֵי הָרַמְבַּ"ם וּבֵין דְּבָרֵי רַשִׁ"י הֵם דְּבְרֵי אֱלֹהִים חַיִּים, אֶלָּא שֶׁרִשִׁ"י נָקֵט פָּסוּק שֶׁל פָּרָשָׁה זוֹ לְפִי שֶׁהוּא לִימּוּד יוֹתֵר פָּשׁוּט, וְעוֹד מִשׁוּם דְּאָזֵיל לְשִׁיטְתֵיה דְּבָפָרְשַׁת פִּינְחָס פֵּירֵשׁ כְּמֵאן דְּאָמֵר לֹא נִתְכַהֵן פִּינְחָס עַד שֶׁהָרְגוֹ לְזְמִיר. וְהָרַמְבֵּ"ם נָקָט הַפָּסוּק שֶׁל כִּי תִּשָּׂא כִּי הֵיכִי דְּלָא תִּקְשֵׁה קוּשְׁיָא הַנַּ"ל לָמָה לֹא רָמֵז זֶה הַדִּין בַּצִויוּי הַכִּיוֹר, וְהוֹדִיעַ לְנוּ בְּזָה שֶׁגַּם מֵאוֹתוֹ פַּסוּק שָׁפִיר יַלְפִינן זָה הָרַמֵז.

Both the words of Rambam and the words of Rashi are the words of the living G-d, but Rashi took a verse of this parsha because it was a simpler lesson. Also, [Rashi] followed his method because in parshat Pinchas (Num. 25:13), he explained that it says in tractate Zevachim that Pinchas didn't become a priest until after he had killed Zimri.

The Rambam took the verse of Ki Tisa so that one should not ask the question above as to why [G-d] did not hint this law in His command to build the laver, and informed us by this that the derivation of this hint is superior from this verse.

* * *