Zera Shimshon

by Rabbi Shimshon Chaim Nachmani zt"l Published Mantua 1778*

Chapter XLIX: Ki Teitzei (Deut. 21:10-25:19)

Essay 6. Biblical and rabbinic limitations on the *mamzer*

This essay discusses the *mamzer*, which is sometimes mistranslated as "bastard." However, it is not related to a child born out of wedlock, but rather to a child born out of adultery or incest.

יְכָמוֹת כֶּרֶק ח' (דַּף ע"ח) אָמַר רַב הוּנָא: מַמְזֵירָא לָא חַיִּי. וְהָא אַנָן הְנָן: מַמְזֵרִים אֲסוּרִים וְאִיסּוּרָן אִיסּוּר עוֹלָם וְכוּ'? מַקְשִׁים אַמֵּאי לֹא כַּרֵיהְ לֵיה מִקרַא דְּכִתִיב "לֹא־יַבא מַמָזֵר" וְכוּ' "גַּם דּוֹר עֵשִׁירִי לֹא־יַבא לוֹ בִּקָהַל ה' ".¹

Tractate Yevamot, chapter 8 (page 78b):

Mishnah: *Mamzerim* and *netinim*² are prohibited [from entering into the congregation, i.e., they are restricted as to whom they can marry]. Their prohibition is eternal [i.e., for all generations], both males and females.

Gemara: . . . [The students] asked Rabbi Eliezer: [With regard to] a *mamzeret* after ten generations, what is [the *halacha*]? He said to them: 'Who will give me a third-generation [*mamzer* so that] I will declare him pure?' Apparently, he maintains that a *mamzer* does not survive. [I.e., he believes that *mamzerim* perish at the hand of Heaven, and therefore this question is not a practical one.] And similarly, **Rav Huna said, 'A** *mamzer* **does not survive.'**

But didn't we learn [in the Mishnah that] *mamzerim* are prohibited [from entering into the congregation], and their prohibition is eternal? [I.e., how is this possible if they do not even live long enough to produce three generations?]

- Yevamot 78b

Also, [people] question why Rav Huna makes this statement, for doesn't Scripture refute him, as it says, "A mamzer shall not enter into the congregation of the L-rd; even to his tenth generation, none shall enter into the congregation of the L-rd" (Deut. 23:3). I.e., it sounds as though a *mamzer* can survive and have offspring, if we have to be warned that they can't enter the congregation.

^{*} English translation: Copyright © 2023 by Charles S. Stein. Additional essays are at https://www.zstorah.com

¹ The translator has omitted the first edition's concluding words "עָד־עוֹלָם" ("forever"). This appears at the end of the next verse, Deut. 23:4, "An Ammonite or Moabite shall not enter into the congregation of the L-rd; even to their tenth generation, none shall enter into the congregation of the L-rd, <u>forever</u>."

² The "*netinim*," meaning "given ones" or "subjects" was the name given to Temple assistants. The term was originally applied in the Book of Joshua to Gibeonites. It is unclear whether the later use of the term *netinim* also applied to Gibeonites or to questionable Jews in general.

Zera Shimshon now investigates the verse further, before returning to discuss its implications regarding Rav Huna's opinion.

וְיֵשׁ לוֹמַר דְּאָמְרינַן בְּמַסֵּכַת קִידּוּשִׁין (דַף ס"ט) ר' טַרְפוֹן אוֹמֵר יְכוֹלִים מַמְזַרים לִיטָהָר, כֵּיצַד מַמְזַר שֶׁנָּשָׂא שִׁפְחָה, הַנָּלָד עֶבֶד. שִׁחְרָרוֹ, הַבֵי זֶה בֶּן חוֹרין. רַבִּי אֱלִיעֶזָר אוֹמַר, הַרִי זֶה עֶבֶד מַמְזַר.

It can be said that it says in the last Mishnah in the third chapter in tractate Kiddushin (page 69a)³: "Rabbi Tarfon says: *Mamzerim* can be purified [so that their offspring will not be *mamzerim*]. How so? [With regard to] a *mamzer* who married [a Canaanite] maidservant, the offspring is a slave. [If the offspring's master subsequently] emancipates him, the son is a freeman [and upon conversion would be fully Jewish without any trace of *mamzerut*].⁴ [Rabbi Eliezer] says: [This method is not effective], as this son is a *mamzer* slave."

וְאָמְרִינַן בַּגְמָרָא דְהַלָּכָה כְּרִ' טַרְפוֹן, וּמַאי טַעֲמֵיה דְּר' אֱלִיעֶזֶר, דְאָמַר קָרָא "לוֹ" הָלַדְ אַחַר פִּיסוּלוֹ, וּפַרֵשׁ רַשִּׁ"י אֲפִילוּ אֵין בּוֹ צַד מַמְזַרוֹת אֶלָּא מֵאוֹתוֹ דְהַיְינוּ מַצֵּד הָאָב, וְרַבָּנָן הַהוּא בְּיִשְׂרָאֵל שֶׁנָּשָׂא מַמְזֶרָת וְכוּ'.

It says in the Gemara that according to Rav Yehuda in the name of Shmuel that the halacha is like Rabbi Tarfon. What is the reason of Rabbi Eliezer for disagreeing and saying that the son would be a *mamzer* slave? For Scripture says, "even to his tenth generation," and one follows his parent with the flawed [lineage], so the child is a *mamzer*. Rashi explains this is so even if he has no aspect of *mamzerut* other than this, which is from the side of the father.

The Gemara continues: "And [how do] the rabbis [deal with Rabbi Eliezer's challenge]? [They maintain] that [this verse is referring] to a Jew [of unflawed lineage] who married a *mamzeret.*" I.e., a *mamzer* from a maternal ancestor cannot purify his descendants.

וְאָם כֵּן אִי הָנָה פָּרֵיךְ לֵיהּ, מְקָרָא הָיָה יָכוֹל לִדְחוֹת, שֶׁהַפָּסוּק מַיְיֵרֵי בְּמַמְזֵר מִצַּד הָאָב בִּלְבַד כְּר' אֱלִיעָזֶר , אוֹ מִצֵּד הָאָם בִּלְבַד כְּרַבְּנָז, וְהַהוּא חַיִּי הוֹאִיל שֶׁאֵינוֹ מַמְזֵר אֶלָּא מִצֵּד אֶחָד. וְדַיְיקָא נָמִי דְּסָמוּךְ לְ"דּוֹר עֲשִׂירִי" כָּתַב מִלֵּת "לוֹ", דְּמַשְׁמָע מִינֵיה מַמְזֵר מִצַּד הָאָב בִּלְבַד, וְרַב הוּנָא מַיְיֵרֵי בְּמַמְזֵר גָּמוּר דְהָהוּא לֹא חַיָּי.

If so, [Rav Huna] could indeed have been refuted, as Scripture could have been used to reject his view that a *mamzer* does not survive. For the verse speaks of a *mamzer* only from the side of the father, according to Rabbi Eliezer, or only from the side of the mother, according to the rabbis, and [the *mamzer*] survives since he's only a *mamzer* from one side.

It's nicely precise that adjacent to "tenth generation" is written the word "to his" from the phrase, "even to his tenth generation," for which the meaning speaks of a *mamzer* from the side of the father only, as Rabbi Eliezer holds, and perhaps **Rav Huna is speaking of a complete** *mamzer*, i.e., from both the maternal and paternal lines, who in his opinion will not survive. If so, then Rav Huna would agree with Rabbi Tarfon and the rabbis that a *mamzer* from one side can survive?

³ Mishnayot Kiddushin 3:13.

⁴ The Gemara (Kiddushin 69a) says that this was only effective for male offspring.

However, Zera Shimshon now casts additional doubts about Rav Huna's position. As quoted above, the Mishnah in Yevamot 78b states, "*Mamzerim* and *netinim* are prohibited. Their prohibition is eternal, both males and females." In addition, we quoted above from the end of the third chapter in Kiddushin, and immediately following that, at the beginning of the fourth chapter, the Mishnah details ten categories of lineage: Priests; Levites; Israelites; priests disqualified due to flawed lineage [*chalalim*]; converts; emancipated slaves; *mamzerim*; *netinim*; children of unknown paternity [*shetuki*]; and foundlings. Priests could only marry the daughters of priests, Levites, and Israelites. Levites, Israelites, *chalalim*, converts, and emancipated slaves could marry each other. Converts, emancipated slaves, *mamzerim*, *netinim*, *shetuki*, and foundlings could marry each other.⁵

אֲכָל הָשֶׁתָא דְמַתְנִיתִין דְקַתָנֵי סְתָמָא מַמְזֵרים וּנְתִינִים אֲסוּרִים וְאִיסּוּרָן אִיסּוּר עוֹלָם. דְמַשְׁמָע כָּל מָן מַמְזַר, וּמִכָּל שֶׁכֵּן דְקַתָנִי לֶה בַּהַדֵי הַלְכָתָא פְסִיקְתָּא דְנְתִינִים וְכוּ'. מִשׁוּם הָכִי פָּרֵידְ לֵיה מַמַתְנִיתִין דַוְקָא.

But now that we see that the rabbis agree with [Rav Huna, if his teaching is limited to a *mamzer* from both maternal and paternal lines], like Rabbi Tarfon [that *mamzerim* can be purified], and the halacha is in accordance with him, we have a problem. For the following Mishnah teaches that "*mamzerim*" (without specifying partial or complete) and *netinim* are prohibited from marrying an Israelite, and the prohibition is an eternal prohibition, with no way to correct the blemish. For this would mean every type of *mamzer*, even if only in the paternal line. We hear that this means all types of mamzer, even if only in the paternal line. This is true even more so as is taught with established *halachot* regarding *netinim* etc.⁶ Because of this, [Rav Huna] is refuted, especially from the following Mishnah.⁷

ַןעוֹד יֵשׁ לוֹמֵר דְהוֹאִיל דְאִיכָּא גַּם ר' אֱלִיעֶזֶר דְסְבִירָא לֵיהּ כְּרֵב הוּנָא דְמַמְזָרָא לא חַיִּי. מִשׁוּם הָכִי אֵין לְהַקְשׁוֹת לְרֵב הוּנָא מַהַפָּסוּק "גַּם דּוֹר עֲשִׂירִי" וְכוּ', מִפְנֵי שֶׁמֵהַפָּסוּק קַשֶׁה נָמֵי לְר' אֱלִיעֶזֶר, וּרְנַדַּאי שֶׁיִהֶיֶה לוֹ אֵיזֶה תַּירוּץ, וְאַף רֵב הוּנָא יְתָרֵץ הַכָּסוּק כְּמוֹ שֶׁיִתֶרֵץ ר' אֱלִיעֶזֶר.

Further, one can say that there is also Rabbi Eliezer, who in Yevamot 78b is in accordance with Rav Huna that a *mamzer* does not survive. Because of this, we don't question Rav Huna from the verse, "even [to his] tenth generation." Because the verse is also difficult for Rabbi Eliezer, and certainly he would have had some solution, and even Rav Huna would have solved the verse as Rabbi Eliezer would have solve it.

⁵ Kiddushin 69a.

⁶ Shulchan Aruch, Even haEzer, 4:1, "Mamzerim and netinim are forbidden forever, whether male or female."

⁷ A section entitled "Omissions" at the end of the first edition corrects the text that had been presented earlier in the sefer for this essay. The Hebrew version presented here and the accompanying translation follows the correction.

אָבָל מִמְתָנִיתִין שֵׁפּיר קָא מִקְשָׁה, שֶׁהַבִי מִמַתְנִיתִין לֵיכָּא לְהַקְשׁוֹת לְר' אֱלִיעֶזָר דְּהָא תּנָּא וּפּלֵיג, אֲבָל לְרַב הוּנָא פָּרֵידְ מְסְתָמָא דְמַתְנִיתִין דְהַלְכָתָא הִיא. וְלֵיכָּא לְמֵימִר דְּסְבִירָא לֵיה כְּר' אֱלִיעֶזֶר, מִשׁוּם דְשׁמוּתִי הוּא וְלֵית הַלְכָתָא כְּוָתֵיה. וְרַב הוּנָא דְאִיהוּ אָמוֹרָא יֵשׁ לוֹ לְדַקְדֵּק וְלוֹמַר דְּבָרָיו אַלִיבָּא דְהַלְכָתָא.

But from the following Mishnah in Kiddushin 69a, [the halacha] is well strengthened, for from the following Mishnah one can question Rabbi Eliezer, who himself was a *tanna* and therefore, unlike later *amora'im*, could disagree with opinions of other *tanna'im*. But Rav Huna, who was a later *amora*, by saying that a *mamzer* does not survive, essentially disputed that the unattributed teaching of the following Mishnah was the halacha.

That is not to say that [the halacha] is in accordance with [Rav Huna], as like Rabbi Eliezer, because [Rabbi Eliezer] is from Beit Shammai,⁸ and the halacha is not in accordance with him, as the halacha generally follows Beit Hillel. And Rav Huna, who is an *amora*, he must be precise and say words that are in accord with the halacha.

ַזְעוֹד יֵשׁ לוֹמַר שֶׁכְּתָב הָרַמְבַּ״ם (בְּפֶרֶק ט"ו מֵהִלְכוֹת אִיסּוּרֵי בִּיאָה) דִּין תּוֹרָה שֶׁסָּפֵק מַמְזַר מוּתָּר לָבוֹא בַּקָּהָל, שֶׁנֶאֲמַר "לאֹ־ יָבאֹ מַמְזַר", מַמְזַר וַדַּאי הוּא דְלֹא יָבא, אֲבָל מַמְזַר סָכֵּק יָבאׁ, אֶלָּא שֶׁחֲכָמִים גָּזְרוּ אַף עַל הַסָּפֵק.

It can also be said that the Rambam writes (in chapter 15 of the laws of Forbidden Intercourse, halacha 21): "According to Scriptural Law, a person suspected of being a *mamzer* is permitted to enter the congregation, for it is said, 'A *mamzer* shall not enter into the congregation of the L-rd,' [which implies] that a definite *mamzer* shall not enter, but a questionable *mamzer* can enter, but the rabbis enacted a prohibition even against the questionable [mamzer]."

וְאָם כֵּן כְּשֶׁאָמַר רַב הוּנָא מַמְזָרָא לָא חַיִּי, הַיְינוּ יְכוֹלִים לוֹמַר דְּמַיְירֵי בְּסָפֵק מַמְזֵר שֶׁהוּא מַמְזַר מִדְרַבָּנָן, וְיֵשׁ סְבָרָא לוֹמַר כֵּן דְּאִי אָמַרְתָּ דְמַיִירֵי בְּמַמְזַר וַדָּאי, מַאי שְׁנָא מַמְזַר מָשָׁאר פְּסוּלִי בַּקַּהָל שֶׁהָם חַיִּים וְזֶה לָא חַיִּי, אָלָא וַדָּאי דְמַיְירֵי בַּמַמְזַר מִדְרַבָּנָן, וְהוֹאִיל שֶׁהוּא סָפֵק יֵשׁ לָחוּשׁ שֶׁמָא יִטָּמַע וְלֹא יִתְרַחַקוּ מִמֶּנוּ לְגַמְרֵי, לְפִי שֶׁיָּהִיו מֵקֵילים בּוֹ דְדילְמָא אֵינוֹ מַמְזַר וּמִשוּם הָכִי לָא חַיִּי, אָמָנָם מַמְזַר וַדָּאי שָׁנוֹדָע וְנִיכּר פִּסוּלוֹ, וּבְנַדֵּאי שָׁיָּתַרָקָקוּ מַמֶּנוּ זָביי, לָפִי שָׁיָהִיוּ מַקִילִים בּוֹ וּמִשׁוּם הָכִי לָא חַיִּי, אָמְנָם מַמְזַר וַדָּאי שָׁנוֹדָע וְנִיכּר פִּיסּוּלוֹ, וּבְנַדָּאי שָׁיִתְרַחָקוּ מ

If so, when Rav Huna said that "a *mamzer* does not survive," we could say that he is speaking of a questionable *mamzer*, who is a *mamzer* according to the rabbis. There is a logical argument to say so, for if you will say he was speaking of a definite *mamzer*, what is the difference between a *mamzer* and the remaining disqualifications in the congregation, that they will survive, and this [*mamzer*] will not survive? Rather, it is certain that he is speaking of a *mamzer* according to the rabbis, and since he is a questionable [*mamzer*], there is a fear lest he integrate and that they not distance themselves from him completely, because they will be lenient on him, lest he is not a *mamzer*. Because of this concern, he will not survive, i.e., he will die at the hand of Heaven. Indeed, [regarding] a definite *mamzer* whose disqualification is known and recognized, certainly [the community] will distance themselves from him, and thus he will be able to live, i.e., he will not die at the hand of Heaven.

⁸ Shabbat 130b.

ּוּמִשׁוּם הָכִי לֹא הַקְשָׁה מֵהַפָּסוּק "לֹא־יָבֹא מַמְזַר", דְּמַיִירֵי בְּמַמְזַר וַדַּאי, וְרַב הוּנָא מַיְירֵי בְּמַמְזַר סָפַק שֶׁהוּא מִדְרַבָּנָן, אֶלָּא הַקְשָׁה מַמַתְנִיתִין דְּקַתְנֵי לֵיה בַּהֲדֵי נְתִינִים, דִּתְנַן מַמְזַרים וּנְתִינִים אָסוּרִים. וּנְתִינִים וַדָּאי שֶׁהֵם מִדְרַבָּנָן, וְאָף מַמְזַרִים דְּקַתְנִי נַמֵי הָיִינִים נַדָּאי שֶׁהֵם מִדְרַבָּנָן, וְאָרָ מַמְזַרים, דּתְנַן מַמְזַרים וּנְתִינִים אָסוּרִים. וּנְתִינִים וַדָּאי שֶׁהֵם מִדְרַבָּנָן, וְאָף מַמְזַרִים דְּקַתְנִי נַמֵי הָיִינִה אַף ממְזַר מִדְרַבָּנָן, וְקָתְנֵי אִיסּוּרִן אִיסּוּר עוֹלָם אַלְמָא חַיִי, וַתִּירֶץ דִיִדִע וָלֹא יְדִיעַ, דְּאֵין הַדָּבָר תָּלוּי בַּסְפֵק אָלָא בִּידִיעַת בְּנֵי אָדָם.

Because of this, they didn't question [Rav Huna] from the verse, "a mamzer shall not enter," for now we understand that it is speaking of a definite mamzer, while Rav Huna is speaking of a questionable mamzer, whose lineage is suspect according to the rabbis. Instead, [Rav Huna] was questioned from the following Mishnah, as is taught regarding *netinim*, which teaches that mamzerim and *netinim* are forbidden.

Netinim are certainly [forbidden] by rabbinic law, and not by Biblical law. So too mamzerim, who are also taught as being [forbidden] according to the rabbis, and as taught, they are forbidden with an eternal prohibition that apparently, he will survive. The solution is that [one] who is known and not known, i.e., one who is under suspicion by the rabbis, but it is unclear whether or not he is actually a *mamzer*, his descendants will survive for three generations, but more than this they will not survive. For this matter does not depend upon the doubt, but upon the knowledge of people.

This is as it says in the Gemara, in Yevamot 78b: "Rabbi Zeira said: Rav Yehuda explained this to me: One who is known [to be a *mamzer*] will survive [as there is no concern that there will be any mingling of his seed]. [On the other hand, one] who is not known [as a *mamzer*] will not survive [as he will die at the hand of Heaven so that there will be no mingling of his seed]. [As for one] who is known and not known, [i.e., one who is under suspicion, but it is unclear whether or not he is actually a *mamzer*, his descendants will] survive for three generations, [but] more [than this they will] not survive."

* * *