Zera Shimshon

by Rabbi Shimshon Chaim Nachmani zt"l Published Mantua 1778*

Chapter L: Ki Tavo (Deut. 26:1-29:8)

Essay 4. Adultery that leads to idolatry

"Accursed is the man who makes a graven or molten image abhorred by the L-rd, a craftsman's handiwork, and sets it up in secret" (Deut. 27:15).

We know from Ex. 22:19 and Deut. 13:6–10 that idolatry warrants the death penalty, so why does Deut. 27:15 only say the man is cursed? Why is there no repeat mention of the death penalty?

סוֹטָה פֶּרֶק ז' דָּרַשׁ רַבִּי יְהוּדָה בֵּן נַחְמָנִי מְתוּרְגְּמָנֵיה דְּרֵישׁ לָקִיש: כָּל הַפָּרָשָׁה כּוּלָה לֹא נֶאֶמְרָה אֶלָּא בִּשְׁבִיל נוֹאֵף וְנוֹאֶפֶת, שֶׁנָּאֱמֵר "אָרוּר הָאִישׁ" וְכוּ'. אָטוּ מָאן דְּעָבֵיד "פֶּסֶל וּמַסֵּכָה", בְּאָרוּר סָגִי לֵיה? אֶלָּא זָה הַבָּא עַל הָעֶרְוָה וְהוֹלִיד מִמֶּנָּה בֵּן, וְהֹלִדְּ בֵּין הַאוֹמוֹת וְעַבֵּד עַבוֹדָה זַרָה. אָמֵר הקב"ה אֵרוּרִים אָבִיו וָאִמּוֹ שֵׁל זֵה וְכוּ' עכ"ל.

Tractate Sota, 7th chapter:

Rabbi Yehuda ben Nachmani, the translator¹ of Reish Lakish, expounded, "The entire parasha [of Ki Tavo] was only said on [account of] an adulterer and adulteress, as it is said, 'Accursed is the man who makes a graven or molten image . . . and sets it up in secret' (Deut. 27:15). For one who serves "a graven or molten image", is a curse sufficient [punishment]? Rather, this [curse is referring to] one who engaged in sexual intercourse with a married woman and had [a mamzer²] son by her. And [the son, who is not allowed to marry a Jew of unflawed lineage], went [to live] among the nations of the world and engaged in idol worship. The Holy One, Blessed be He, said, "Accursed is his father and his mother for causing him [to worship idols]."

- Sota 37b

So Deut. 27:15 is focused on a new type of sin: the guilt of adulterous parents who are indirectly responsible for idolatry, because their adulterous act created a *mamzer* child.

^{*} English translation: Copyright © 2021 by Charles S. Stein. More essays are at https://www.zstorah.com

¹ For the benefit of a Jewish audience that did not understand Hebrew, a *meturguman* would provide a verbal translation into Aramaic.

² Sometimes mistranslated as "bastard." However, it is not related to a child born out of wedlock, but rather to a child born out of adultery or incest.

קָשֶׁה, דְעֲדִיִין הַקּוּשְׁיָא בִּמְקוֹמָה עוֹמֶדֶת: אַטּוּ מִי שֶׁבָּא עַל הָעֶרְוָה, בָּאָרוּר סַגִּי לֵיה? וְלֵיכָּא לְמֵימֵר דְּיִהְיֶה לוֹ קּלְלַת אָרוּר נוֹסַף עַל הַמִּיתַה דָּאם כֵּן אַף בִּמַאן דְּעֲבֵיד פֶּסֶל וּמַפֵּכָה נִימָא הָכִי.

A difficulty is that the question still stands: If, as Rabbi Yehuda ben Nachmani explains, Deut. 27:15 is talking about one who engaged in sexual intercourse with a married woman, leading to the birth of a mamzer who will worship idols, is a curse sufficient [punishment] for the father's indirect sin of idolatry? We don't say that he will have an additional curse of "Accursed [is the man]" and deserving of the death penalty. If that's the case, then even [regarding his mamzer son] who serves a graven or molten image, let us say that, that the idolatrous son should only receive a curse but not the death penalty! But we know that's not true, as the son's direct action of idolatry certainly warrants the death penalty.

ָוְיֵשׁ לוֹמַר דְּקַיִימָא לַן דְּמִיתַּת בֵּית דִּין הָיָתָה כַּפַּרָה עַל כֹּל עֲוֹנוֹתָיו וְזֵה שֶׁבָּא עַל הָעֶרוָה כָּבָר נִתְכַפֵּר לוֹ בְּמִיתָתוֹ.

Rather, it can be said in accordance with [the opinion] that death at the hands of the court is an atonement for all of his transgressions³ and this one who engaged in sexual intercourse with a married woman leading to the birth of a mamzer son who worshipped idols has already been atoned for by his death due to his adultery. That is, the father was directly guilty of adultery with a married woman, for which the Torah elsewhere explicitly says he is deserving of death.⁴ The son is directly guilty of idolatry, for which the Torah elsewhere explicitly says he is deserving of the death penalty. In Deut. 27:15, the Torah need not say that the father deserves the death penalty for his indirect guilt of idolatry, because it has already said that he deserves the death penalty for his direct commission of adultery.

אָבָל עַכְשָׁיו שֶׁבָּנוֹ עוֹבֵד עֲבוֹדָה זָרָה, הַבְּרִיּוֹת מְקַלְלִים אוֹתוֹ, אָרוּר שֶׁזָּה יֶלֶד אָרוּר שֶׁזֶּה גִּדָּל, שֶׁחֵטְא הָאָב גָּרַם זֶה. וְהָאָב יִשָּׂא בְּעָוֹן הַבֵּן שֶׁנִבְרָא בָּחֶטְאוֹ, וְזֶה גָּרַם לוֹ לִיפּוֹל בְּחַטְא אַחַר וּמְצָא מִין אֶת מִינוֹ וְנֵיעוֹר.

But now that his son is an idol worshipper, people curse him, "Accursed is the one who gave birth to him, accursed is the one who raised him." The father will carry the sin of the son who was created by [the father's] sin, and this one, i.e., the son, caused that one, i.e., his father, to fall into another sin. I.e., the father becomes indirectly guilty for his son's idolatry. And "the former takes on the identity of the latter," i.e., the father takes on the identity of his son, as being an idolator.

³ Sanhedrin 44b.

⁴ Lev. 20:10.

⁵ Sanhedrin 52a.

⁶ Cf. Ezek. 18:20, "The person who sins, he alone shall die. A child shall not share the burden of a parent's guilt, nor shall a parent share the burden of a child's guilt . . ."

⁷ Eruvin 9a; Avodah Zarah 73a; Bechorot 22a, 23a.

אָבָל בְּפֶּסֶל וּמַסֵּכָה, לֵיכָּא לְמִימֵר בְּהוּ הָכִי, שֶׁהְרֵי כְּשֶׁעָשָׁה "פֶּסֶל וּמַסֵּכָה . . . וְשָׁם בַּסְּתָר", דְּהַיְינוּ שֶׁעָבַד אוֹתָהּ לְשֵׁם עֲבוֹדָה זָרָה כִּיְהָה חַיָּיב מִיתָה בְּבֵית דִּין וּמִיתָתוֹ כַּפָּרָתוֹ. וּלְאַחַר זְמַן אִי אֶפְשָׁר שֶׁאָדָם אַחַר יַצְבוֹד זָרָה כִּבְּיִאְרְוּן שָׁלְּחָרְוּ שָׁזָּה חָיָב מִיתָה בְּבֵית דִּין וִמִיתוּ אוֹתוֹ עַל שֶׁעָבַד עֲבוֹדָה זָרָה הַפְּסֶל וּמַסֵּכָה שֶׁעָשָׂה זָה וְיאֹמְרוּ אָרוּר שֶׁזֶּה יָצַר אָרוּר שֶׁזֶּה עָשָׂה, דְּנַדְּאי אִם הַבֵּית דִּין יָמִיתוּ אוֹתוֹ עַל שֶׁעָבַד עֲבוֹדָה זָרָה הַבְּכוֹדָה זָרָה יִשְׁחָקוּהָ וִישְׂרְפוּהָ, וּמְשׁוּם הָכִי אִי אֶפְשָׁר אֶלָּא לְאוֹלְמֵי בְּנוֹאֵף וְבְנוֹאֶפֶת.

But for the son, who directly worships a graven or molten image, you can't say this, that he should only receive a curse. For when he makes "a graven or molten image... and sets it up in secret," which is that he serves it in the name of idol worship, as it says in tractate Avodah Zarah 52a, he is obligated to death at the hands of the court, and his death will be his atonement.

Later, it's impossible that another man will serve the graven or molten image that he made, so that [people] will say, "accursed is the one who created this, accursed is the one who served this." For certainly, if the court will kill [the mamzer] for idol worship, even the idol that he created will be laughed at and burned by the court.

Because of this, it's impossible for the verse to be taken as anything other than support [for teaching about the grave consequences of] an adulterer and adulteress.

* * *