Toldot Shimshon

by Rabbi Shimshon Chaim Nachmani zt"l Published Livorno 1776*

Chapter I – Mishnah 16

רַבַּן גַּמְלִיאֵל אוֹמֵר, עֲשֶׂה לְדָּ רַב וָהָסְתַּלֶּק מָן הַסְּכֵּק, וְאַל תַּרְבֶּה לְעֲשֶׂר אוֹמַדוֹת.

Rabban Gamliel says: Appoint for yourself a teacher and avoid doubt, and do not make a habit of tithing by guesswork.

דַּעַת קְדוֹשִׁים נִמְצָא, שֶׁזֶּה רַבָּן גַּמְלִיאֵל הוּא בֶּן בְּנוֹ שֶׁל הִלֵּל, כְּמוֹ שֶׁבֶּתְבוּ רַשִׁ"י וְתוֹסְפוֹת יוֹם טוֹב. וְהוּא רַבָּן גַּמְלִיאֵל הַזָּקְן, כְּמוֹ שֶׁבְּתָב הָרַמְבַּ"ם בְּהַקְדָּמַת חִיבּוּרוֹ הַגָּדוֹל, וְעַיֵּן שֶׁם.

Knowledge of the Holy One is found,¹ this is Rabban Gamliel, who was the grandson of Hillel, as Rashi and Tosafot Yom Tov wrote. This was Rabban Gamliel the Elder, as the Rambam wrote in the introduction to his great composition, the Mishneh Torah, and see there.

ּוְיֵשׁ כָּאן מָקוֹם עִיּוּן, מַה בָּא זֶה הַתַּנָּא לְחַדֵּשׁ לָנוּ בְּמוּסָר זֶה שֶׁל "עֲשֵׂה לְדְּ רַב", אָם כְּכָר שְׁמַעֲנוּהוּ מִיהוֹשֻׁעַ בֶּן פְּרַחְיָה לְעֵיל בִּפִרקִין, שֶׁקַדִם לוֹ כַּמָה דוֹרוֹת. וָצָרִיךְ לוֹמֵר דְּלַאו לְגוּפֵיה אִיצְטָרִיךְ, אֻלָּא הוּא מִקוּשַׁר עִם "וָהִסְתַּלֵּק מִן הַפָּבָק" דְּסָמִיךְ לִיה.

There's a point to study here: What novelty is this Tanna bringing to us with this teaching of "Appoint for yourself a teacher," if we already heard this from Yehoshua ben Perachiah above in our chapter, in Mishnah 6, as Yehoshua ben Perachiah preceded him a number of generations. It must be said that this teaching is not necessary on its own, rather it is connected with Rabban Gamliel's teaching "avoid doubt," which is adjacent to it.²

ְּזָהוּ מוֹפֵת חוֹתֵךּ, שֶׁכָּל הַתַּנָאִים הַלָּלוּ הָיְתָה כַּנָונָתָם לְקַשֵּׁר דְּבְרֵיהֶם זֶה עָם זֶה בְּקָשֶׁר חָזָק, קֶשֶׁר שֶׁלְ קַיָּימָא, וְכַנָּונָתָם הְיְתָה לְקַשֵּׁר דְבְרִיהֶם זֶה עָם זֶה בְּקַשֶׁר חָזֶק, קֶשֶׁר שֻׁלְּה הַמַּזְלֵג", לְקצְלוֹת קְטֹרֶת וּלְבוֹנָה זַכָּה דַּקָּה מִן הַדָּקָה. וְלֹא הוֹצִיאוּ מִפִּיהֶם אֵלוּ הַמּוּסְרִים בְּאַקְרֵאי בְּעַלְמָא, וְ"כֹּל אֲשֶׁר יַצְלֶה הַמַּזְלֵג", בְּלֹא דַּעַת וּבְלֹא תְּבוּנָה בְּסֶדֶר הַמּוּקְדָּם וְהַמְּאוּחָר. אֶלָּא תּוֹכָם רָצוּף אַהָּבָה, וְכָל פִּרְיָם לְדָשׁ הִלּוּלִים לָה'. זֹאת קוֹמָתם דְּמְתָה לְּתָמָר וְשָׁדֵים לְאָשְׁכּוֹלוֹת, שֶׁיֵשׁ לָהֶם כָּתַף וְנָטֵף מוּשְׁבָּים וּמוּרְכָּבִים זֶה עַל זָה. וְלָכֵן בְּחִיבּוּבוּו זָה אָמַרְנוּ לַעְלוֹת בְתָמָר וְלָבֶחוֹז בְּסַנְסִינִּיו, לְפָרֵשׁ קְצָת קְצָת מֵהֶם, דְּבוּקִים וּמְיוּחָדִים יַחַד, בְּיִחוּד בְּרָכָה וּקְדוּשָׁה, כְּפִי אֲשֶׁר יוֹרוּנוּ מִן הַשְּׁמֵיִם, וְנַבְּתּוֹלֵי

This is a striking example, that all these Tannaim had the intention of linking their words with each other in a strong bond, a bond that would last, and their intention was to

^{*} English translation: Copyright © 2022 by Charles S. Stein.

¹ Cf. Prov. 9:10: "The beginning of wisdom is fear of the L-rd, and <u>knowledge of the Holy One</u> is understanding," and Prov. 2:5: "Then you will understand the fear of the L-rd, and <u>attain knowledge of G-d</u>."

² While many modern editions insert a comma after "appoint for yourself a teacher," the original text came to us before the introduction of modern punctuation, and is open to interpretation.

kindle incense and extremely fine pure frankincense. Their mouths did not spout these teachings by chance, and "whatever the fork brought up," "without knowledge and without thinking" of the order of earlier or later [sequence]. Rather, within they are paved with love, all their fruit shall be holy, for giving praise unto G-d. This is their stature, which is like a date palm, and their breasts [likened] to clusters [of grapes], for they have sprigs over one another and they hang and descend, lying and riding on each other. Therefore, in our composition we said [we should] climb the palm tree and grasp its branches, to explain a small bit of them, stuck together and unified, in the unification of blessing and holiness, as they will be illuminated from Heaven, and with wrestlings of G-d we have wrestled.

וְהָנֵּה כִּי כֵן, כַּנָּונַת הַתַּנָּא הַזֶּה לְהַדְריכֵנוּ בִּנְתִיבוֹת חָכְמָה וּמוּסָר לְפִי שִׁטָּתוֹ, דְּבְרֶישׁ פֶּרֶק ד' דְּגִיטִין תְּנַן, בָּרְאשׁוֹנָה, הָיָה עוֹשֶׁה בֵּית דִּין בְּמָקוֹם אַחֵר וּמְבַטְּלוֹ. הִתְקִין רַבָּן גַּמְלִיאֵל הַזָּקֵן שֶׁלֹּא יִהִיוּ עוֹשִׁים כֵּן, מִפְּנֵי תִּקּוּן הָעוֹלָם. וּמְפָרֵשׁ רַשִּׁ"ִי בַּגְּמָרָא, מִפָּנִי מַקַנַת מַמְזַרִים, שֶׁלֹא יִהִיוּ מֵמְזַרִים בִּיִשְׂרָאֵל.

Here it is thus: the intention of this Tanna is to guide us in the path of wisdom and morality according to his opinion, according to the beginning of chapter 4 of tractate Gittin:

Initially, [one who wanted to cancel a bill of divorce that he had already sent to his wife] would convene a court elsewhere and render [the bill of divorce] void [in the presence of the court before it reached his wife]. Rabban Gamliel the Elder instituted [a rabbinic ordinance] that one must not do this, for the betterment of the world [out of concern that his wife would receive the bill of divorce, not realizing it had been voided, and would marry another man].

- Mishnah Gittin 4:2; Gittin 32a

Rashi explained in his commentary on the Gemara, because of the rabbinic ordinance to prevent mamzerim, ¹⁴ that there shouldn't be mamzerim in Israel.

³ I Sam. 2:14

⁴ Menachem ben Binyamin Recanati, *Commentary on the Torah*, Judges 6 (composed Venice c.1250–c.1290); Shimon ben Tzemach Duran, *Sefer haTashbetz* II:72:2 (composed Algiers c.1384–c.1444); Joseph Albo, *Sefer haIkarim*, Ma'amar 1, Chapter 2 (composed Castille 1425); Rabbi Isaiah HaLevi Horovitz, *Shnei Luchot haBrit*, Judges, Torah Ohr (composed Israel c.1611–c.1631).

⁵ Rashi on Gen. 1:1.

⁶ Cf. Song of Songs 3:10.

⁷ Cf. Lev. 19:24.

⁸ Cf. Song of Songs 7:8.

⁹ Cf. Song of Songs 7:9.

¹⁰ Mishnah Peah 7:4.

¹¹ Rambam, *Mishneh Torah*, Those Who Defile Bed or Seat 3:1.

¹² Cf. Song of Songs 7:9.

¹³ Gen. 30:8

¹⁴ A *mamzer* is sometimes mistranslated as "bastard." However, it is not related to a child born out of wedlock, but rather to a child born out of adultery or incest.

ּוְעוֹד אִיתָא שָׁם בַּסָּמוּדְ, תְּנוּ רַבָּנָן, בִּטְלוֹ מְבוּטָל, דְּבָרֵי רַבִּי. רַבָּן שָׁמְעוֹן בֶּן גַּמְלִיאֵל אוֹמֵר, אֵינוֹ מְבוּטָל, דְּאָם כֵּן, מַה כֹּחַ בֵּית דִין יָפֶה. וּפֵרֵשׁ רַשִּׁ"י, בִּטְלוֹ לִפְנֵי בֵּית דִין, לְאַחַר תַּקָּנַת רַבָּן גַּמְלִיאֵל וְכוּ', מַה כֹּחַ בֵּית דִין שֶׁל תַּקְנַת רַבָּן גַּמְלִיאֵל יָפֶה, אִם דּבָרֵיהֶם בְּטֵלִים עַל יִדִי זָה, עכ"ל.

Also, it is brought there in the adjacent text,

The Sages taught: [Even after Rabban Gamliel the Elder instituted that a husband cannot use a court to render void a bill of divorce when not in the presence of the wife or the agent], if he [nevertheless] rendered it void [through a court, the bill of divorce] is rendered void; [this is] the statement of Rabbi [Yehuda HaNasi].

Rabban Shimon ben Gamliel says: He is unable to render it void, ... as if so, how is the power of the court greater [than an individual's power]?

- Gittin 33a

Rashi explained: If he rendered it void before a court, after the rabbinic ordinance of Rabban Gamliel, what power is there to a court observing the ordinance of Rabban Gamliel, if their words are invalidated by this husband's actions. [I.e., the court must follow the ordinance established by Rabban Gamliel, and cannot accept the husband's statement that he is voiding the bill of divorce.]

וְלֹרְמוֹז עַל זָה, פָּתַח פִּיו בֶּחָכְמָה וּבְמוּסָר, "עֲשֵׂה לְךּ רֵב וְהִסְתַּלֵּק מְן הַסְּפֵּק", וְעִיקֵּר הַמּוּסָר שָׁלוֹ הוּא "וְהִסְתַּלֵּק מִן הַסְּפֵּק", שְׁנִשׁ לָאָדָם לַעֲשׁוֹת כָּל מַאֲמַצֵּי כֹּחוֹ, שֶׁלֹא לִיכָּנֵס בִּסְפֵּק אִיסוּר בְּכָל מַעֲשֶׂה שֶׁיָבוֹא לְיַדוֹ. וּמְחָמַת זָה הִתְקִין רַבָּן גַּמְלִיאֵל, שֻׁלֹא לִיכָּנֵס בִּסְפֵּק אִיסוּר בְּכָל מַעֲשֶׂה שֶׁיָבוֹא לְיַדוֹ. וּמְחָמַת זָה הִתְקִין רַבָּן אָל אָקוֹם אַחֵר, כְּדֵי לְהִסְתַּלֵּק מִסְּכֵּק מַמְזֵרִים, שֶׁלֹא יִהְיוּ בְּיִשְׁרָאֵל. וְאַף אִם יָבוֹא יִהְיוּ עוֹשִׁים כֵּן לְבַּטֵּל הַגָּט בִּפְנֵי בֵּית דִּין שֶׁלֹא יִהְיָה מְבוּשָּׁל, דְּאִם כֵּן, מַה כֹּחַ בִּין יָפֶה.

To hint at this, he opened his mouth with wisdom and morality, saying, "Appoint for yourself a teacher and avoid doubt," and the main point of his teaching is to avoid doubt, that a man has to make every effort in his power, that he should not enter into a possible prohibition in any incident that comes to him. Because of this, Rabban Gamliel decreed that there should be no such thing as annulling the divorce before a court in a different place, in order to distance from the possibility of mamzerim, that there should not be such a thing in Israel. Even if he comes before a court and voids the bill of divorce after this ordinance of Rabban Gamliel, we should rule that there will be no voiding of the bill of divorce, for if so, how is the power of the court greater [than an individual's power]?

ּוְזֶהוּ "עֲשֵׂה לְדְּ רַב", שֶׁהָרַב שֶׁלְּדְּ וְהַבֵּית דִּין שֶׁלְדְּ, יִהְיֶה לוֹ כֹּחַ יָפֶה, וּבְזֶה בְּנדַּאי תִּסְתַּלֵק מָן הַסָּפֵק שֶׁל אִיסוּר. אֲבָל אִם לֹא תַּעֲשֶׂה לְדְּ רַב, שֶׁלֹא תֹאמֵר הַסְּבָרָא שֶׁל מַה כֹּחַ בֵּית דִּין יָפֶה, וְכִסְבָרַת רַבִּי דְּאָמֵר, אִם בִּטְלוֹ מְבוּטָל, אָז אִי אֶפְשָׁר לְהַסְתַּלֵק מָן הַסַּפֵק, שֵׁהָרִי לִפְעָמִים הָאִשָּׁה אֵינָה יוֹדַעַת מֵהַבִּיטוּל שֶׁעֲשָׁה בַּעַלָּה, וְהוֹלֵכֵת וְנִשֵּאת, וֹבְנֵיהָ מַמְזַרִים בִּיִשְׂרָאֵל.

This is the meaning of "Appoint for yourself a teacher," that your teacher and your court should have a greater power, and in this way certainly you will distance yourself from

a possible prohibition. But if you will not appoint for yourself a teacher, that you don't say to yourself the opinion that a court should have a greater power, and like the opinion of Rabbi [Yehuda HaNasi] who said, "if he rendered it void, [the bill of divorce] is rendered void," then it's impossible to distance oneself from doubt. For in such a case, sometimes the woman would not know of the nullification of the bill of divorce by her husband, and she will go and marry another, and her children will be mamzerim in Israel.

ְאַחַר כָּךְ הוֹסִיף לוֹמַר, "וְאַל תַּרְבֶּה לָעֶשֶׂר אֹמְדוֹת", וְהַקּוּשְׁיָא מְבוֹאֶרֶת, שֶׁהָיָה לוֹ לוֹמַר "וְאַל תִּעְשֵׂר אוֹמְדוֹת", וּמַאי "וְאַל מַרְבָּה". וְנָרְאָה לוֹמַר, דְּאֲפִילּוּ לְמַאן דְּאָמַר דְּמוּתָּר לְעַשֵּׁר מֵאוֹמֶד, דְּהַיִינוּ לְפֵירוּשׁ הַתּוֹסְפוֹת הֵיכָא דְּלֹא נִתְכַנֵּן לְהַרְבּוֹת, וְכֵן לְאַבָּא אֶלְעָזָר בֵּן גִּימֶל, וּכְמוֹ שֶׁהֶאֱרִיךְ בָּזָה הַתּוֹסְפוֹת יוֹם טוֹב, וְעַיֵּן שָׁם. עָם כָּל זֶה, עֵצָה טוֹבָה קָא מֵשְׁמַע לָן רַבָּן גַּמְליאֵל הַזָּקו, שָׁלֹא יַרְבָּה לַעֲשׁוֹת כֵּן, כָּּן יָבוֹא לִידֵי חֲשָׁד, שֶׁיַּחְשְׁדוּהוּ שֶׁלֹּא נָתַן כֹּל הָרָאוּי לִיתַּן, וּמִיעֵט בְּמַעַשְׁרוֹתְיו.

Afterward, [Rabban Gamliel] added, saying, "and do not make a habit of tithing by guesswork." The question that leads to an explanation is that he should have said, "and do not tithe by guesswork," and why did he say, "and do not make a habit"? This seems to say, that even in the Gemara where it is said that it is permissible to tithe by guesswork, his which according to the explanation of the Tosafot is that he only intended to estimate generously to ensure that he was giving the right amount, but he did not intend to add a lot of the amount he was tithing. The rabbis held that it was improper to designate too much ma'aser, as giving more than one fifth was likely to cause one to become impoverished, and then he would become a burden upon others. [That one can tithe by estimate] is like the opinion of Abba Elazar ben Gimel, and as the Tosfot Yom Tov discussed at length, and see there.

Despite all this, Rabban Gamliel the Elder comes to teach us, not to make a habit of doing this, lest one come under suspicion, that people will suspect him of not giving all that he should give, and that he does not give enough tithes.

ּוְאָזֵיל רַבָּן גַּמְלִיאֵל הַזָּקֵן לְשִׁיטְתֵיה, דְּתָנַן בְּפֶרֶק ד' דְּגִיטִין, בָּרְאשׁוֹנָה הָיָה מְשׁנָּה שְׁמוֹ וּשְׁמָה, שֵׁם עִירוֹ וְשֵׁם עִירָה. הִתְקִין רַבָּן גַּמְלִיאֵל הַזָּקֵן, שִֻּיהָא כּוֹתֵב אִישׁ פְּלוֹנִי וְכָל שֵׁם שֵׁיֵשׁ לוֹ, אִשָּׁה פְּלוֹנִית וְכָל שֵׁם שָׁיֵשׁ לָה, שָׁמִין זָה שְׁמוֹ, עכ"ל. דְּשְׁמַע מִינַּה, דְּסְבֶרָתוֹ הִיא לְחוּשׁ רַשִׁ"י, שֶׁלֹא יוֹצִיאוּ לַעַז עַל בָּנֶיהָ מִן הַשֵּׁנִי, לֵאמֹר, לֹא גַּרְשָׁה בַּעְלָה, שָׁאֵין זָה שְׁמוֹ, עכ"ל. דְּשְׁמַע מִינַּה, דְּסְבֶרָתוֹ הִיא לְחוּשׁ לְלַעז וְלָדְיִי וְלָבְעֹז וְלָהָשָׁר. וְהָּכָא נַמִּי, "אַל פַּרְבָּה לְעַשֵּׂר אוֹמְדוֹת", פֶּן יוֹצִיאוּ לַעַז עָלָיו שֶׁלֹא נָתַן כָּרְאוּי.

Rabbi Gamaliel the Elder came to his opinion, as it is taught in the 4th chapter of tractate Gitten:

Initially, [the husband] would change his name and her name, [from the names by which they were known where they formerly lived to the names by which they were known where the bill of divorce was written, and write] the name of his city and the name of her city. Rabban Gamliel the Elder instituted that [the

¹⁵ Menachot 54b–55a; Gittin 30b–31a.

¹⁶ Tosafot for Gittin 31a.

¹⁷ Menachot 54b–55a.

scribe] should write [in the bill of divorce]: [The] man so-and-so, and any [other] name that he has, [and: The] woman so-and-so, and any [other] name that she has, for the betterment of the world. [Perhaps the people of a different city would not recognize the name written in the bill of divorce, and would claim that this bill of divorce does not belong to her.]

- Mishnah Gittin 4:2; Gittin 34b

Rashi explained: "So that people would not cast aspersion on [the legitimacy of] her children from the second [name of her] husband, to say, that her husband didn't divorce her for that is not his name."

We hear from this, that [Rabban Gamliel's] opinion is to worry about people casting aspersion and having suspicion. Here too, by saying, "do not make a habit of tithing by guesswork," he means lest people cast aspersion on a person that he is not giving as much as he should.

וְאָתֵי שַׁפִּיר סֵדֶר הַמִּשְׁנָה, שֶׁמִּתְּחָלָּה הִזְהִיר שֶׁלֹא יָבֹא לִידֵי סְכֵּק אִיסוּר, "עֲשֵׁה לְךּ רַב וְהִסְתַּלֵּק מִן הַסְּכֵּק". וְאַחֵּר כָּךְ, שֶׁלֹא יָבֹא לִידֵי סְכֵּק אִיסוּר, "עֲשֵׁה לְדְּ רַב וְהִסְתַּלֵק מִן הַסְּבִּלְ הְמִימֵר כְּלָל "אַל יַרְבֶּה", פֶּן יָבוֹא לִטְעוֹת, לֹא הְנָה צְּרִיכָא לְמִימֵר כְּלָל "אַל תַּרְבָּה", שֶׁהָרֵי כָּבָר אָמֵר "וָהִסְתַּלֵק מִן הַסָּבַק". וֹמָזֶה נִשְׁמֵע שֵׁלֹא יַרְבָּה לַעֲשֶׂר אוֹמָדוֹת, כִּי יֵשׁ סְבֵּק שֵׁמָּא יָבֹא לִטְעוֹת.

The order of the Mishnah is fine, that initially, he is warning that in order that one shouldn't come to a possible prohibition, "appoint for yourself a teacher and avoid doubt." Afterward, that he shouldn't come to be viewed with possible suspicion.

Actually, according to the explanation of Tosafot Yom Tov of "do not make a habit," lest one come to err, it was not at all necessary to say "do not make a habit," for he already said, "and avoid doubt." From this, we hear that one shouldn't make a habit of tithing by guesswork, because there is a doubt lest one come to err.

* * *